

**MEPS HC-013:
1999 Panel 4 Round 1
Population Characteristics**

**Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Center for Cost and Financing Studies**

AHRQ Clearinghouse Number 00-DP02

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
A	DATA USE AGREEMENT	A-1
B	BACKGROUND	B-1
	1.0 Household Component	B-2
	2.0 Medical Provider Component	B-2
	3.0 Insurance Component	B-3
	4.0 Nursing Home Component	B-3
	5.0 Survey Management	B-4
C	TECHNICAL AND PROGRAMMING INFORMATION	C-1
	1.0 General Information	C-1
	2.0 Data File Information	C-2
	2.1 Codebook Structure	C-2
	2.2 Reserved Codes	C-2
	2.3 Codebook Format	C-3
	2.4 Variable Naming	C-3
	2.5 File Contents	C-3
	2.5.1 Survey Administration Variables	C-3
	2.5.2 Demographic Variables	C-8
	2.5.3 Health Status Variables	C-11
	2.5.4 Employment Variables	C-14
	2.5.5 Health Insurance Variables	C-16
	3.0 Survey Sample Information	C-17
	3.1 Sample Design and Response Rates	C-17
	3.2 Sample Weights	C-17
	3.2.1 Person Level Weight	C-18
	3.2.2 Family Level Weight	C-18
	3.2.2.1 Definition of MEPS Families	C-18
	3.2.2.2 Assignment of Weights	C-18
	3.2.2.3 Instructions to Create Family Estimates	C-19

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
	3.2.3 Relationship Between Person and Family Level Weights	C-19
	3.3 Variance Estimation	C-19
	4.0 Programming Information	C-20
D	CODEBOOK.....	D-1
E	VARIABLE-SOURCE CROSSWALK.....	E-1
F	MEPS HOUSEHOLD COMPONENT DATA ITEMS.....	F-1
G	PANEL 1 (1996) MEPS HC DATA ITEMS ON EVENT FILES	G-1
H	CATALOG OF MEDICAL EXPENDITURE PANEL SURVEY PRODUCTS.....	H-1

A. DATA USE AGREEMENT

Individual identifiers have been removed from the micro-data contained in the files on this CD-ROM. Nevertheless, under sections 308 (d) and 903 (c) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 242m and 42 U.S.C. 299 a-1), data collected by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and/or the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) may not be used for any purpose other than for the purpose for which it was supplied; any effort to determine the identity of any reported cases is prohibited by law.

Therefore in accordance with the above referenced Federal Statute, it is understood that:

1. No one is to use the data in this data set in any way except for statistical reporting and analysis; and
2. If the identity of any person or establishment should be discovered inadvertently, then (a) no use will be made of this knowledge, (b) the Director Office of Management AHRQ will be advised of this incident, (c) the information that would identify any individual or establishment will be safeguarded or destroyed, as requested by AHRQ, and (d) no one else will be informed of the discovered identity; and
3. No one will attempt to link this data set with individually identifiable records from any data sets other than the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey or the National Health Interview Survey.

By using this data you signify your agreement to comply with the above stated statutorily based requirements with the knowledge that deliberately making a false statement in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the Federal Government violates 18 U.S.C. 1001 and is punishable by a fine of up to \$10,000 or up to 5 years in prison.

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality requests that users cite AHRQ and the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey as the data source in any publications or research based upon these data.

B. BACKGROUND

This documentation describes one in a series of public use files from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS). The survey provides a new and extensive data set on the use of health services and health care in the United States.

The Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) is conducted to provide nationally representative estimates of health care use, expenditures, sources of payment, and insurance coverage for the U.S. civilian non-institutionalized population. MEPS also includes a nationally representative survey of nursing homes and their residents. MEPS is cosponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).

MEPS comprises four component surveys: the Household Component (HC), the Medical Provider Component (MPC), the Insurance Component (IC), and the Nursing Home Component (NHC). The HC is the core survey, and it forms the basis for the MPC sample and part of the IC sample. The separate NHC sample supplements the other MEPS components. Together these surveys yield comprehensive data that provide national estimates of the level and distribution of health care use and expenditures, support health services research, and can be used to assess health care policy implications.

MEPS is the third in a series of national probability surveys conducted by AHRQ on the financing and use of medical care in the United States. The National Medical Care Expenditure Survey (NMCES, also known as NMES-1) was conducted in 1977, the National Medical Expenditure Survey (NMES-2) in 1987. Beginning in 1996, MEPS continues this series with design enhancements and efficiencies that provide a more current data resource to capture the changing dynamics of the health care delivery and insurance system.

The design efficiencies incorporated into MEPS are in accordance with the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Survey Integration Plan of June 1995, which focused on consolidating DHHS surveys, achieving cost efficiencies, reducing respondent burden, and enhancing analytical capacities. To accommodate these goals, new MEPS design features include linkage with the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), from which the sampling frame for the MEPS HC is drawn, and continuous longitudinal data collection for core survey components. The MEPS HC augments NHIS by selecting a sample of NHIS respondents, collecting additional data on their health care expenditures, and linking these data with additional information collected from the respondents' medical providers, employers, and insurance providers.

1.0 Household Component

The MEPS HC, a nationally representative survey of the U.S. civilian non-institutionalized population, collects medical expenditure data at both the person and household levels. The HC collects detailed data on demographic characteristics, health conditions, health status, use of medical care services, charges and payments, access to care, satisfaction with care, health insurance coverage, income, and employment.

The HC uses an overlapping panel design in which data are collected through a preliminary contact followed by a series of five rounds of interviews over a 2 ½-year period. Using computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) technology, data on medical expenditures and use for 2 calendar years are collected from each household. This series of data collection rounds is launched each subsequent year on a new sample of households to provide overlapping panels of survey data and, when combined with other ongoing panels, will provide continuous and current estimates of health care expenditures.

The sampling frame for the MEPS HC is drawn from respondents to NHIS, conducted by NCHS. NHIS provides a nationally representative sample of the U.S. civilian non-institutionalized population, with oversampling of Hispanics and blacks.

2.0 Medical Provider Component

The MEPS MPC supplements and validates information on medical care events reported in the MEPS HC by contacting medical providers and pharmacies identified by household respondents. The MPC sample includes all hospitals, hospital physicians, home health agencies, and pharmacies reported in the HC. Also included in the MPC are all office-based physicians:

- Providing care for HC respondents receiving Medicaid.
- Associated with a 75-percent sample of HC households receiving care through an HMO (health maintenance organization) or managed care plan.
- Associated with a 25-percent sample of the remaining HC households.

Data are collected on medical and financial characteristics of medical and pharmacy events reported by HC respondents, including:

- Diagnoses coded according to ICD-9-CM (9th Revision, International Classification of Diseases) and DSM-IV (Fourth Edition, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*).
- Physician procedure codes classified by CPT-4 (Common Procedure Terminology, Version 4).
- Inpatient stay codes classified by DRGs (diagnosis-related groups).
- Prescriptions coded by national drug code (NDC), medication names, strength, and quantity dispensed.
- Charges, payments, and the reasons for any difference between charges and payments.

The MPC is conducted through telephone interviews and mailed survey materials.

3.0 Insurance Component

The MEPS IC collects data on health insurance plans obtained through employers, unions, and other sources of private health insurance. Data obtained in the IC include the number and types of private insurance plans offered, benefits associated with these plans, premiums, contributions by employers and employees, eligibility requirements, and employer characteristics.

Establishments participating in the MEPS IC are selected through four sampling frames:

- A list of employers or other insurance providers identified by MEPS HC respondents who report having private health insurance at the Round 1 interview.
- A Bureau of the Census list frame of private sector business establishments.
- The Census of Governments from Bureau of the Census.
- An Internal Revenue Service list of the self-employed.

To provide an integrated picture of health insurance, data collected from the first sampling frame (employers and insurance providers) are linked back to data provided by the MEPS HC respondents. Data from the other three sampling frames are collected to provide annual national and State estimates of the supply of private health insurance available to American workers and to evaluate policy issues pertaining to health insurance.

The MEPS IC is an annual panel survey. Data are collected from the selected organizations through a prescreening telephone interview, a mailed questionnaire, and a telephone followup for nonrespondents.

4.0 Nursing Home Component

The 1996 MEPS NHC was a survey of nursing homes and persons residing in or admitted to nursing homes at any time during calendar year 1996. The NHC gathered information on the demographic characteristics, residence history, health and functional status, use of services, use of prescription medications, and health care expenditures of nursing home residents. Nursing home administrators and designated staff also provided information on facility size, ownership, certification status, services provided, revenues and expenses, and other facility characteristics. Data on the income, assets, family relationships, and care-giving services for sampled nursing home residents were obtained from next-of-kin or other knowledgeable persons in the community.

The 1996 MEPS NHC sample was selected using a two-stage stratified probability design. In the first stage, facilities were selected; in the second stage, facility residents were sampled, selecting both persons in residence on January 1, 1996, and those admitted during the period January 1 through December 31.

The sample frame for facilities was derived from the National Health Provider Inventory, which is updated periodically by NCHS. The MEPS NHC data were collected in person in three rounds of data collection over a 1 ½-year period using the CAPI system. Community data were collected by telephone using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) technology. At the end of three rounds of data collection, the sample consists of approximately 815 responding facilities, 3,100 residents in the facility on January 1, and 2,200 eligible residents admitted during 1996.

5.0 Survey Management

MEPS data are collected under the authority of the Public Health Service Act. They are edited and published in accordance with the confidentiality provisions of this act and the Privacy Act. NCHS provides consultation and technical assistance.

As soon as data collection and editing are completed, the MEPS survey data are released to the public in staged releases of summary reports and microdata files. Summary reports are released as printed documents and electronic files. Microdata files are released on CD-ROM and/or as electronic files. A catalog of all MEPS products released to date is provided in Section G of this document.

Printed documents and CD-ROMs are available through the AHRQ Publications Clearinghouse. Write or call:

AHRQ Publications Clearinghouse
Attn: (publication number)
P.O. Box 8547
Silver Spring, MD 20907
800/358-9295
410/381-3150 (callers outside the United States only)
888/586-6340 (toll-free TDD service; hearing impaired only)

Be sure to specify the AHRQ number of the document or CD-ROM you are requesting. Selected electronic files are available from the Internet on the AHRQ home page: <http://www.meps.ahrq.gov>.

Additional information on MEPS is available from the MEPS project manager or the MEPS public use data manager at the Center for Cost and Financing Studies, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

C. TECHNICAL AND PROGRAMMING INFORMATION

1.0 General Information

This documentation describes the fourth point in time data file to be released from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Household Component (MEPS HC). The data are being released both as an ASCII file (with related SAS programming statements) and in SAS transport format. This public use file provides information on data collected on a nationally representative sample of the civilian non-institutionalized population of the United States during the early part of 1999. The data consists of 1999 data obtained in Round 1 of Panel 4 of the MEPS Household Component and contains variables pertaining to survey administration, demographics, employment, health status, and health insurance.

Users familiar with the 1997 and 1998 point in time data files should note that this point in time file covers only a single round, Round 1 of Panel 4. The 1997 and 1998 point in time files included two rounds of data from different MEPS panels covering approximately the same period of time. For example, the 1998 point in time file included data from both Round 1 of Panel 3 and the 1998 portion of Round 3 of Panel 2. Round 3 of Panel 3 was not included in the current file because the data collection schedule for Rounds 2 and 3 of Panel 3 was non-standard. For all households in Panels 1 and 2, Round 3 crossed the boundary between the first and second years of the panel. For approximately 30 percent of the households in Panel 3, Round 2 crossed the end-of-year boundary and approximately 70 percent of the households followed the standard pattern. To provide MEPS data covering the first portion of 1999 on a timely basis, it was decided to exclude the data from Panel 3 from the delivery. As a result, the current point in time file is roughly 60 percent the size of the previous file. Panel 3 data will be included in the full year public use files for 1998 and 1999.

These data are being released prior to final data cleaning and editing in order to provide the research and policy community prompt access to MEPS data. Analysts should consider these data as preliminary as they have not been subject to the same level of quality control procedures usually performed on products of this type. Please refer to the MEPS web page (www.meps.ahrq.gov) for information on any post-production updates.

The following documentation offers a brief overview of the types and levels of data provided, the content and structure of the files and the codebook, and programming information. It contains the following sections:

- Data File Information
- Survey Sample Information
- Programming Information
- Codebook
- Variable-Source Crosswalk
- MEPS Household Component Data Items
- Catalog of Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Products

For more information on MEPS HC survey design, see S. Cohen, 1997; J. Cohen, 1997; and S. Cohen, 1996. For information on the MEPS MPC design, see S. Cohen, 1998.

2.0 Data File Information

This public use dataset contains variable and frequency distributions for a total of 15,409 persons from Panel 4 Round 1. This count includes all household survey respondents who resided in eligible responding households. Of these persons, 14,974 were assigned a positive person-level weight. For each variable both weighted and unweighted frequencies are provided. In conjunction with the person-level weight variable (WGTSP1) provided on this file, data for these persons can be used to make estimates for the civilian noninstitutionalized U.S. population as of the first half of 1999.

2.1 Codebook Structure

The codebook and data file sequence lists variables in the following order:

- Unique person identifiers
- Demographic variables
- Health Status variables
- Employment variables
- Health Insurance variables
- Weight and variance estimation variables

2.2 Reserved Codes

The following reserved code values are used:

VALUE	DEFINITION
-1 INAPPLICABLE	Question was not asked due to skip pattern
-7 REFUSED	Question was asked and respondent refused to answer question
-8 DK	Question was asked and respondent did not know answer
-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	Interviewer did not record the data
-10 HOURLY WAGE > \$55.47	Variable was top-coded for confidentiality

2.3 Codebook Format

This codebook describes an ASCII data set and provides the following programming identifiers for each variable:

IDENTIFIER	DESCRIPTION
Name	Variable name (maximum of 8 characters)
Description	Variable descriptor (maximum 40 characters)
Format	Number of bytes
Type	Type of data: numeric (indicated by NUM) or character (indicated by CHAR)
Start	Beginning column position of variable in record
End	Ending column position of variable in record

2.4 Variable Naming

In general, variable names reflect the content of the variable, with an eight-character limitation. All of the variables on this file (except some demographic variables and DUID, DUPERSID, PID, and KEYNESS) end in “1” to denote that they are Panel 4 Round 1 variables. For edited variables the “1” is followed by an “X,” and are so noted in the variable label. Variables contained in this delivery were derived either from the questionnaire itself or from the CAPI. The source of each variable is identified in the section of the documentation entitled “E. Variable-Source Crosswalk.” Sources for each variable are indicated in one of four ways: (1) variables derived from CAPI or assigned in sampling are so indicated; (2) variables derived from complex algorithms associated with re-enumeration are labeled “RE Section”; (3) variables that come from one or more specific questions have those numbers listed in the “Source” column; and (4) variables constructed from multiple questions using complex algorithms are labeled “Constructed” in the “Source” column.

2.5 File Contents

2.5.1 Survey Administration Variables

The survey administration variables contain information related to conducting the interview, household and family composition, and person-level and RU-level status codes. Data for the survey administration variables were derived from the sampling process, the CAPI program, or were computed based on information provided by the respondent in the reenumeration section of the questionnaire. Most Survey Administration variables on this file are asked during every round of the MEPS interview. Variables in this delivery contain data from Panel 4 Round 1 in 1999.

Dwelling Units, Reporting Units, and Families

The definition of Dwelling Units (DUs) in the MEPS Household Survey is generally consistent with the definition employed for the National Health Interview Survey. The dwelling unit ID (DUID) is a five-digit random ID number assigned after the case was sampled for MEPS. The person number (PID) uniquely identifies all persons within the dwelling unit. The variable DUPERSID, a combination of the variables DUID and PID, thus uniquely identifies each sampled person in MEPS.

A Reporting Unit (RU) is a person or group of persons in the sampled dwelling unit who are related by blood, marriage, adoption, foster care or other family association. Each RU is to be interviewed as a single entity for MEPS. Thus, the RU serves chiefly as a family-based “survey operations” unit rather than an analytic unit. Members of each RU within the DU are identified by the variable RULETR1. Regardless of the legal status of their association, two persons living together as a “family” unit were treated as a single reporting unit if they chose to be so identified. Examples of different types of reporting units are:

1. A married daughter and her husband living with her parents in the same dwelling unit constitute a single reporting unit.
2. A husband and wife and their unmarried daughter, age 18, who is living away from home while at college constitute two reporting units.
3. Three unrelated persons living in the same dwelling unit would each constitute a distinct reporting unit, three reporting units in all.

Unmarried college students less than 24 years of age who usually live in the sampled household, but were living away from home and going to school at the time of the MEPS interview, were treated as a Reporting Unit separate from that of their parents for the purpose of data collection. The variable RUSIZE1 indicates the number of persons in each RU, treating each student as a single RU separate from their parents. Thus, students are not included in the RUSIZE1 count of their parents’ RU. However, for many analytic objectives, the student reporting units would be combined with their parents’ reporting unit, treating the combined entity as a single family. Family identifier and size variables are described below and include students with their parents’ reporting unit.

The variable FAMID1 identifies a family (i.e., persons living together related to one another by blood, marriage, adoption, foster care, or self-identified as a single unit plus related students who are living away at post-secondary school) for each round. These family identifier variables use a letter and a DU identifier to indicate a person’s family affiliation. In order to identify a person’s family affiliation, users must create a unique set of FAMID1 variables by concatenating the DU identifier (DUID) and the FAMID1 variable.

The variable FAMSIZ1 indicates the number of persons associated with a single family unit after students are linked to their associated parent RUs for analytical purposes. Family-level analyses should use the FAMSIZ1 variables. In a few cases, students were deleted from the file because attempts to contact them were unsuccessful, and no data were collected for them. However, these persons are accounted for in the FAMSIZ1 variable.

The family size (FAMSIZ1) and the reporting unit (RU) size (RUSIZE1) counts may not be consistent with the count of records on the file. There are some reporting units where the RU size variable (RUSIZE1) is not equal to the number of people in that RU actually included on the file. This occurs because people who did not respond for their entire period of eligibility were not included on the file. In addition, for a number of these reporting units, the reference person is not included on the file for this same reason.

The variable RURSLT1 indicates the RU response status for Round 1 for the Panel 4 sample. The values include the following:

- 60 Complete with RU member
- 61 Complete with proxy--all RU members deceased on or after 1/1/99
- 62 Complete with proxy--all RU members institutionalized or deceased on or after 1/1/99
- 63 Complete with proxy, other

There are several other variables that characterize the reporting unit. The variable RUCLAS1 indicates the RU classification. RUs are classified for fielding purposes as 1 "Standard," 2 "New RU," or 3 "Student RU." Standard RUs are the original RUs from NHIS. All primary RUs are classified as standard RUs. A new RU is one which has been created when members of the household leave the primary RU and are followed according to the rules of the survey. A student RU is one in which an unmarried college student under 24 years of age is considered a usual member of the household but was living away from home while going to school and was treated as a Reporting Unit (RU) separate from that of his or her parents for the purpose of data collection.

Reference Period Dates

The reference period is the period of time for which data were collected in each round for each person. The reference period dates were determined during the interview for each person by the CAPI program.

The round-specific beginning reference period dates are included for each person. These variables include BEGRFM1, BEGRFD1, and BEGRFY1. The reference period for Panel 4 Round 1 for most persons identified at NHIS began on January 1, 1999 and ended on the date of the Round 1 interview. Persons who joined the RU after 1/1/1999 have their beginning reference date for the round as the day they joined the RU.

The dates of the interview and the ending reference period dates are included for each person. These variables include RUENDM1, REUENDD1, RUENDY1, ENDRFM1, ENDRFD1, and ENDRFY1. In general, the date of the interview is the reference period end date for most persons. Note that the end date of the reference period is prior to the date of the interview if the person was deceased during the round, left the country, was institutionalized prior to that round's interview, or joined the military during the round and was not living with someone else who was eligible. If a person left the RU and that person was key and in-scope, the person was followed in the new RU to which he or she moved and his or her reference period dates pertain to the new RU.

Reference Person Identifiers

The variable RNDREF1 identifies the reference person for the RU. In general, the reference person is defined as the household member 16 years of age or older who owns or rents the home. If more than one person meets this description, the household respondent identifies one from among them. If the respondent was unable to identify a person fitting this definition, the questionnaire asked for the head of household and this person was then considered the reference person for that RU. This information was collected in the reenumeration section of the CAPI questionnaire.

Respondent Identifiers

The respondent is the person who answered the interview questions for the reporting unit (RU). The round-specific variable RDRESP1 identifies the respondent. Only one respondent is identified for each RU. In instances where the interview was completed in more than one session, only the first respondent is indicated.

There are two types of respondents. The respondent can be either an RU member or a non-RU member proxy. The variable PROXY1 identifies the type of respondent.

Person Status

A number of variables describe the various components reflecting each person's status for each round of data collection. These variables provide information about a person's in-scope status, keyness status, eligibility status, and disposition status. These variables include: INSCOP1, KEYNESS, and PSTAT1. These variables are set based on sampling information and responses provided in the reenumeration section of the CAPI questionnaire.

Through the reenumeration section of the CAPI questionnaire, each member of a reporting unit was classified as "key" or "non-key," "in-scope" or "out-of-scope," and "eligible" or "ineligible" for MEPS data collection. To be included in the set of persons used in the derivation of MEPS person level estimates, a person had to be a member of the civilian non-institutionalized population for at least one day during 1999. Because a person's eligibility for the survey might have changed since the NHIS interview, a reenumeration of household membership was conducted at the start of each round's interview. Only persons who were "in-scope" sometime during 1999, "key," and responded for the full period in which they were in-scope were assigned person level weights and thus are to be used in the derivation of person level estimates from the MEPS.

In-Scope

A person is considered as in-scope during a round if he or she is a member of the U.S. civilian, non-institutionalized population at some time during that round. The variable INSCOP1 indicates a person's in-scope status, specifically indicating whether a person was ever in-scope during Round 1 of Panel 4.

Keyness

The term "keyness" is related to an individual's chance of being included in MEPS for purposes of making estimates about the U. S. civilian non-institutionalized population. A person is key if that person is linked for sampling purposes to the set of NHIS sampled households designated for inclusion in MEPS. Specifically, a key person either was a member of an NHIS household at the time of the NHIS interview, or was a family member who began living with a member of such a household after being out-of-scope prior to joining that member. (Examples of the latter situation include newborns and persons returning from military service, an institution, or living outside the United States.)

A non-key person is one whose chance of selection for the NHIS (and MEPS) was associated with a household eligible but not sampled for the NHIS, and who later became a member of a MEPS reporting unit. MEPS data (e.g., utilization and income) were collected for the period of time a non-key person was

part of the sampled unit to provide information for family level analyses. However, non-key persons who leave a sample household unaccompanied by a key, in-scope member were not followed for subsequent interviews. Non-key individuals do not receive person level sample weights and thus do not contribute to person level national estimates. They may receive family level weights if they are a member of a responding family.

The variable KEYNESS indicates a person's keyness status. This variable is not round-specific. Instead, it is set at the time the person enters MEPS, and the person's keyness status never changes. Once a person is determined to be key, that person will always be key.

It should be pointed out that a person may be key even though not part of the civilian, non-institutionalized portion of the U.S. population. For example, a person in the military may have been living with his or her civilian spouse and children in a household sampled for the NHIS. The person in the military would be considered a key person for MEPS. However, such a person would not be eligible to receive a person-level sample weight if he or she was never in-scope during 1999. He or she may receive a family weight if a member of a responding family.

Eligibility

The issue of a person's eligibility for MEPS is a data collection issue. Data are to be collected only for persons considered eligible for MEPS.

All key, in-scope persons of a sampled RU are eligible for data collection. The only non-key persons eligible for data collection are those who happen to be living in an RU with at least one key, in-scope person. Their eligibility continues only for the time that they are living with at least one such person. The only out-of-scope persons eligible for data collection are those persons serving full-time on active duty in the military who are living with key in-scope persons, and again only for the time they are living with such a person.

A person may be classified as eligible for an entire round or for some part of a round. For persons who are eligible for only part of a round, data are collected for that person only for the period of time for which that person was classified as eligible.

Person Disposition Status

The variable PSTAT1 indicates a person's response and eligibility status. The PSTAT1 variable indicates the reasons for either continuing data collection for a person or terminating data collection for each person in the MEPS. Using this variable, one could identify persons who moved during the reference period, died, were born, were institutionalized, or were in the military.

The following codes specify the value labels for the PSTAT1 variables.

- 11 Person in original RU, not full-time active military duty
- 12 Person in original RU, full-time active military duty, out-of-scope for whole reference period
- 13 Person is a full-time student living away from home, but associated with sampled RU

- 14 Person is full-time active military duty during round and is in-scope for part of the reference period and is in the RU at the end of the reference period
- 31 Person from original RU, dies during reference period
- 32 Person entered health care institution during reference period
- 33 Person entered non-health care institution during reference period
- 34 Person moved from original RU, outside US (not as student)
- 35 Person moved from original RU, to a military facility while on full-time active military duty
- 41 Person moved from the original RU, to new RU within US (new RUs include RUs originally classified as “Student RU” but which converted to “New RU”)
- 42 Person joins RU and is not full-time military during round or joins RU and is in the military the entire round
- 44 Person leaves an RU and joins an existing RU and is not both in the military and coded as in-scope during the round
- 51 Person is newborn in reference period

Geographic Variables

The variable REGION1 indicates the Census region for the RU. MSA1 indicates whether or not the RU is found in a metropolitan statistical area. These variables indicate the geographic location of the reporting unit. The region variable is coded according to the Census regions, and the MSA1 variable reflects the June 30, 1993 definition of metropolitan statistical areas.

2.5.2 Demographic Variables

These variables provide information about the demographic characteristics of each person. As noted below, some variables have edited and imputed values. Values of most demographic variables on this file are obtained during each round of the MEPS interview. These variables provide data for Panel 4, Round 1, as well as a number of characteristics that are not round specific.

Sex

The variable SEX contains data on the sex of each RU member, as determined during the NHIS interview; it was verified and, if necessary, corrected during each MEPS interview. The data for new RU members (persons who were not members of the RU at the time of the NHIS interview) were also obtained during each MEPS Round. When sex of the RU member was not available from the NHIS interview and was not ascertained during one of the subsequent MEPS interviews, it was assigned in the following way. The person’s first name was used to assign sex, if obvious. If the person’s first name

provided no indication of gender, then family relationships were reviewed. If neither of these approaches made it possible to determine the individual's sex, sex was randomly assigned.

Age

Date of birth and age for each RU member were asked or verified during each MEPS interview (DOBMM, DOBY, AGE1X). If date of birth was available, age was calculated based on the difference between date of birth and date of interview (or the date of death, if the person died prior to the interview date). Inconsistencies between the calculated age and the age reported during the CAPI interview were reviewed and resolved. For purposes of confidentiality, the variable AGE1X was top coded at 90 years, and DOBY bottom coded at 1909. When date of birth was not provided but age was (from either the MEPS or the NHIS data), the month and year of birth were assigned randomly from among the possible valid options. For any cases still not accounted for, age was imputed using (1) the mean age difference between MEPS participants with certain family relationships (where available) or (2) the mean age value for MEPS participants. For example, a mother's age is imputed as her child's age plus the mean age difference between MEPS mothers and their children, or a wife's age is imputed as the husband's age plus the mean age difference between MEPS wives and husbands.

Race, Race/Ethnicity, Hispanic Ethnicity, and Hispanic Ethnicity Group

Race (RACEX) and Hispanic ethnicity (HISPANX) were asked for each RU member during the MEPS interview. If this information was not obtained in Round 1, the questions were asked in subsequent Rounds. When race and/or ethnicity was not reported in the interview, values for these variables were obtained based on the following priority order. When available, they were obtained from the originally collected NHIS data. If not ascertained, the race and/or ethnicity were assigned based on relationship to other members of the DU using a priority ordering that gave precedence to blood relatives in the immediate family. The variable RACETHNX indicating both race and ethnicity (e.g., with categories such as "Hispanic" and "black but not Hispanic") reflects the imputations done for RACEX and HISPANX. The specific Hispanic ethnicity group is given in the unedited variable HISPCAT.

Student Status and Educational Attainment

The variable FTSTD1X indicates whether the person was a full-time student at the interview date. This variable has valid values for all persons between the ages of 17 – 23 inclusive. Completed years of education are indicated in the variable EDUCYR1. Information was obtained from questions RE 103 – 105. Children who are 5 years of age or older and who never attended school were coded as 0; children under the age of 5 years were coded as -1 "Inapplicable" regardless of whether or not they attended school.

The variable indicating highest degree (HIDEG1) was obtained from two questions: high school diploma (RE 104) and highest degree (RE 105). Persons under 16 years of age were coded as 8 "Under 16 – Inapplicable." In cases where the response to the highest degree question was "no degree" and highest grade completed was 13 through 17, the variable was coded as 3 "high school diploma." If highest grade completed for those with a "no degree" response was "refused" or "don't know," the variable was coded as 1 "no degree." The user should note that the EDUCYR1 and HIDEG1 variables are unedited variables and minimal data cleaning was performed on these variables. Therefore, discrepancies in data may remain for these two sets of variables. Decisions as to how to handle these discrepancies are left to the analyst.

Marital Status and Spouse ID

Current marital status was collected and/or updated during each Round of the MEPS interview. This information was obtained in RE13 and RE97 and is reported as MARRY1X. Persons under the age of 16 were coded as 6 “under 16 - Inapplicable.” In instances where there were discrepancies between the marital status of two individuals within a family, other person-level variables were reviewed to determine the edited marital status for each individual. For example, when one spouse was reported as married and the other spouse reported as widowed, the data were reviewed to determine if one partner should be coded as 8 “widowed in Round.”

When marital status was missing in the preceding round and provided in the current round, then the person was coded to the “in round” marital state. For example, if marital status was not available from the National Health Interview Survey, and the person’s marital status was reported as married in round 1 of MEPS, then the person would be coded as “7 married in round” for round 1 of MEPS.

The person identifier for each individual’s spouse is reported in SPOUID1. These are the PIDs (within each family) of the person identified as the spouse during the round. If no spouse was identified in the household, the variable was coded as 995 “no spouse in house.” Those with unknown marital status are coded as 996 “marital status unknown.” Persons under the age of 16 are coded as 997 “Less than 16 years old.”

The SPOUIN1 variable indicates whether a person’s spouse was present in the RU during the Round. If the person had no spouse in the household, the value was coded as 2. For persons under the age of 16 the value was coded as 3. The SPOUID1 and SPOUIN1 variables were obtained from RE76 and RE77, where the respondent was asked to identify how each pair of persons in the household was related. Analysts should note that this information was collected in a set of questions separate from the questions that asked about marital status. While editing was performed to ensure that SPOUID1 and SPOUIN1 are consistent within the Round, there was no consistency check between these variables and marital status in the Round. Apparent discrepancies between marital status and spouse information may be due to any of the following causes: 1) Ambiguity as to when during the Round a change in marital status occurred. This is a result of relationship information being asked for all persons living in the household at any time during the Round, while marital status is asked as of the interview date (e.g., If one spouse died during the reference period, the surviving spouse’s marital status would be “widowed in Round,” but SPOUIN1 and SPOUID1 for the same round would indicate that a spouse was present); 2) Valid discrepancies in the case of persons who are married but not living with their spouse, or separating but still living together; or 3) Discrepancies which cannot be explained for either of the previous reasons.

Military Service and Service Era

Information on active duty military status was collected during each Round of the MEPS interview. Persons currently on full-time active duty status are identified in the variable ACTDTY1. Those under 16 years of age were coded as 3 “under 16- inapplicable” and those over the age of 59 were coded as 4 “over 59-inapplicable.”

Relationship to the Reference Person within Reporting Units

For each reporting unit (RU), the person who owns or rents the dwelling unit is usually defined as the reference person. For student RUs, the student is defined as the reference person. (For additional information on reference persons, see the documentation on survey administration variables.) The

variable RFREL1X indicates the relationship of each individual to the reference person of the reporting unit (RU) in a given round. For the reference person, this variable has the value “self”; for all other persons in the RU, relationship to the reference person is indicated by codes representing “husband/spouse,” “wife/spouse,” “son,” “daughter,” “female partner,” “male partner,” etc. A code of 91, meaning “other related,” was used to indicate rarely observed relationship descriptions such as “mother of partner.” If the relationship of an individual to the reference person was not ascertained during the Round-specific interview, relationships between other RU members were used, where possible, to assign a relationship to the reference person. If MEPS data were not sufficient to identify the relationship of an individual to the reference person, relationship variables from the NHIS data were used to assign a relationship. In the event that a meaningful value could not be determined or data were missing, the relationship variable was assigned a missing value code.

2.5.3 Health Status Variables

Health Status variables involved the construction of person-level variables based on information collected in the Condition Enumeration and Health Status sections of the questionnaire. The majority of Health Status questions were initially asked at the family level to ascertain if anyone in the household had a particular problem or limitation. These were followed up with questions to determine which household member had each problem or limitation. Logical edits were performed in constructing the person-level variables to assure that family-level and person-level values were consistent. Particular attention was given to cases where missing values were reported at the family level to ensure that appropriate information was carried to the person level. Inapplicable cases occurred when a question was never asked because of a skip patterns in the survey (e.g., individuals who were 13 years of age or older were not asked some follow-up verification questions). Inapplicable cases are coded as -1. In addition, for all variables, deceased persons were coded as inapplicable and received a code of -1.

Perceived Health Status and Mental Health Status

Perceived health status (RTHLTH1) and mental health status (MNHLTH1) were collected in the Condition Enumeration section. These questions (CE01 and CE02) asked the respondent to rate each person in the family according to the following categories: excellent, very good, good, fair, and poor. No editing was done to these variables. The corresponding dichotomous variables RTPROX1 and MNPROX1 each indicate whether the ratings of physical and mental health, respectively, were provided by oneself or by someone else.

IADL and ADL Help/Supervision

The Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) Help or Supervision variable (IADLHP1) was constructed from a series of three questions. The initial question (HE01) determined if anyone in the family received help or supervision with IADLs such as using the telephone, paying bills, taking medications, preparing light meals, doing laundry, or going shopping. If the response was “yes,” a follow-up question (HE02) was asked to determine which household member received this help or supervision. For persons under age 13, a final verification question (HE03) was asked to confirm that the IADL help or supervision was the result of an impairment or physical or mental health problem. If the response to the final verification question was “no,” IADLHP1 was coded as “no” for persons under the age of 13.

If no one in the family was identified as receiving help or supervision with IADLs, all members of the family were coded as receiving no IADL help or supervision. In cases where the response to the family-

level question was “don’t know,” “refused,” or otherwise missing, all persons were coded according to the family-level response. In cases where the response to the family-level question (HE01) was “yes” but no specific individuals were identified in the follow-up question as having IADL difficulties, all persons were coded as “don’t know” (-8).

The Activities of Daily Living (ADL) Help or Supervision variable (ADLHLP1) was constructed in the same manner as IADLHP1, but using questions HE04-HE06. Coding conventions for missing data were the same as for IADLHP1.

Functional Limitations

A series of questions pertained to functional limitations, defined as difficulty in performing certain specific physical actions. WLKLIM1 was the filter question. It was derived from a question (HE09) that was asked at the family level: Does anyone in the family have difficulties walking, climbing stairs, grasping objects, reaching overhead, lifting, bending or stooping, or standing for long periods of time? If the answer was no then all family members were coded as “no” (2) on WLKLIM1. If the answer was “yes,” then the specific persons who had any of these difficulties were identified and coded as “yes” (1) on WLKLIM1, and remaining family members were coded as “no.” If the response to the family-level question was “don’t know” (-8), “refused” (-7), “missing” (-9), or “inapplicable” (-1), then the corresponding missing value code was applied to each family members value for WLKLIM1. If the answer to HE09 was “yes,” but no specific individual was named as experiencing such difficulties, then each family member was assigned -8 for WLKLIM1. Deceased respondents were assigned a -1 code (“inapplicable”) for WLKLIM1.

If any family member was coded “yes” to WLKLIM1, a subsequent series of questions was administered. The series of questions for which WLKLIM1 served as a filter was as follows:

- LFTDIF1 – difficulty lifting 10 pounds
- STPDIF1 – difficulty walking up 10 steps
- WLKDIF1 – difficulty walking 3 blocks
- MILDIF1 – difficulty walking a mile
- STNDIF1 – difficulty standing 20 minutes
- BENDIF1 – difficulty bending or stooping
- RCHDIF1 – difficulty reaching over head
- FNGRDF1 – difficulty using fingers to grasp

The series of questions was asked separately for each person who was coded “yes” to WLKLIM1. The series of questions was not asked for other individual family members for whom WLKLIM1 was “no.” In addition, this series was not asked about family members who were less than 13 years of age, regardless of their status on WLKLIM1. Finally, these questions were not asked about deceased family members. In such cases (i.e., WLKLIM1 = 2, or age < 13, or PSTAT1 = 31), each question in the series was coded as “inapplicable” (-1). Finally, if responses to WLKLIM1 were “refused” (-7), “don’t know” (-8), “not ascertained” (-9), or otherwise inapplicable (-1), then each question in this series was coded as “inapplicable” (-1).

Analysts should note that, for WLKLIM1, there was no minimum age criterion that was used to determine a skip pattern, whereas, for the subsequent series of questions, persons less than 13 years old were skipped and coded as inapplicable. Therefore, it is possible for someone aged 12 or less to have a code of 1 yes on WLKLIM1, and also to have codes of inapplicable on the subsequent series of questions.

Use of Assistive Technology and Social/Recreational Limitations

The variables indicating use of assistive technology (AIDHLP1, from question HE07) and social/recreational limitations (SOCLIM1, from question HE22) were collected initially at the family level. If there was a “yes” response to the family-level question, a second question identified the specific individual(s) to whom the “yes” response pertained. Each individual identified as having the difficulty was coded “yes” on the appropriate variable; all remaining family members were coded “no.” If the family-level response was “don’t know,” “refused,” or otherwise missing, all persons were coded with the family-level response. In cases where the family-level response was “yes” but no specific individual was identified as having difficulty, all family members were coded as “don’t know.”

Work, Housework, and School Limitations

The variable indicating any limitation in work, housework, or school (ACTLIM1) was constructed using questions HE19-HE20. Specifically, information was collected initially at the family level. If there was a “yes” response to the family-level question (HE19), a second question (HE20) identified the specific individual(s) to whom the “yes” response pertained. Each individual identified as having a limitation was coded “yes” on ACTLIM1; all remaining family members were coded “no.” If the family-level response was “don’t know,” “refused,” or otherwise missing, all persons were coded with the family-level response. In cases where the family-level response was “yes” but no specific individual was identified as having difficulty, all family members were coded as “don’t know” (-8). Persons less than five years old were coded as “inapplicable” (-1) on ACTLIM1.

If ACTLIM1 was “yes” and the person was 5 years of age or older, a follow-up question (HE20A) was asked to identify the specific limitation or limitations for each person. These included working at a job (WRKLIM1), doing housework (HSELIM1), or going to school (SCHLIM1). Respondents could answer “yes” to each activity; one person could thus report limitation in multiple activities. WRKLIM1, HSELIM1, and SCHLIM1 have values of “yes” or “no” only if ACTLIM1 was “yes”; each variable was coded as “inapplicable” (-1) if ACTLIM1 was “no,” “refused,” or otherwise missing. When ACTLIM1 was “don’t know,” these variables were all coded as “don’t know.” If a person was under 5 years old or was deceased, WRKLIM1, HSELIM1, and SCHLIM1 were each coded as “inapplicable” (-1).

A second question (UNABLE1) asked if the person was completely unable to work at a job, do housework, or go to school. This question was asked only of the same set of respondents who provided data on WRKLIM1, HSELIM1, and SCHLIM1. Therefore, those respondents who were coded “no” on ACTLIM1, or were under 5 years of age, or were deceased, were coded as “inapplicable” (-1) on UNABLE1. UNABLE1 was asked once for whichever set of WRKLIM1, HSELIM1, and SCHLIM1 the respondent had limitations; if a respondent was limited in more than one of these three activities, UNABLE1 did not specify if the respondent was completely unable to perform all of them, or only some of them.

Cognitive Limitations

The variable (COGLIM1) was collected at the family level as a three-part question (HE24-01 to HE24-03) indicating if any of the adults in the family (1) experience confusion or memory loss, (2) have problems making decisions, or (3) require supervision for their own safety. If a “yes” response was obtained to any item, the persons affected were identified in HE25 and COGLIM1 was coded as “yes.” Remaining family members not identified were coded as “no” for COGLIM1.

If responses to HE24-01 through HE24-03 were all “no,” or if two of three were “no” and the remaining was “don't know,” “refused,” or otherwise missing, all family members were coded as “no.” If responses to the three questions were combinations of “don't know,” “refused,” and missing, all persons were coded as “don't know.” If the response to any of the three questions was “yes” but no individual was identified in HE25, all persons were coded as “don't know.”

COGLIM1 reflects whether any of the three component questions is “yes.” Respondents with one, two, or three specific cognitive limitations cannot be distinguished. In addition, because the question asked specifically about “adult” family members, all persons less than 18 years of age are coded as “inapplicable” (-1) on this question.

2.5.4 Employment Variables

Employment questions were asked of all persons 16 years and older at the time of the interview. Employment variables consist of person-level indicators such as employment status and job-related variables such as hourly wage. All job-specific variables refer to a person's current main job. The current main job, defined by the respondent, indicates the main source of employment.

Employment variables included on the Panel 4 Round 1 1999 release are: EMPST1, HRWAG1X, HRWAY1, HOUR1, HELD1X, OFFER1X, NUMEMP1 and SELFCM1. Most employment variables pertain to status as of the date of the interview.

With the exception of health insurance held or offered from a current main job, no attempt has been made to logically edit any employment variables. When missing, values were imputed for certain persons' hourly wage; however, there was no editing performed on any values reported by the respondent. Hourly wages greater than \$55.47 were top-coded to -10. The number of employees variable was top-coded at 500.

Employment Status (EMPST1)

Employment status was asked for all persons aged 16 or older. Responses to the employment status question were: “currently employed” if the person had a job at the interview date, “has a job to return to” if the person did not work during the reference period but had a job to return to as of the interview date, “employed during the reference period” if the person had no job at the interview date but did work during 1999, and “not employed with no job to return to” if the person did not have a job at the interview date, did not work during the reference period, and did not have a job to return to. These responses are mutually exclusive. A current main job was defined for persons reporting that they were currently employed and who identified a current main job, and for persons who reported and identified a job to return to. Therefore, job-specific information such as hourly wage exists for persons not presently working at the interview date but who have a job to return to.

Hourly wage (HRWAG1X and HRWAY1)

Hourly wage was asked of all persons who reported a current main job that was not self-employment (SELFCM1). For reasons of confidentiality, the hourly wage variable (HRWAG1X) was top-coded. A value of -10 indicates that the hourly wage was greater than \$55.47. The hourly wage on this file (HRWAG1X) should be considered along with its accompanying variable HRWAY1.

For persons who did not indicate a wage amount but who did indicate a range into which the hourly wage falls, the reported hourly wage (HRWAG1X) is the median within that range. The medians were calculated using actual wages reported from the same round by persons living in the same Census region and of the same gender reporting hourly wages within each age range category. In some cases, particularly in the low wage range, gender was not used in the calculation of the median wage in order to provide a large enough base.

HRWAY1 indicates how the corresponding HRWAG1X was constructed. Hourly wage was derived, as applicable, from a large number of source variables. In the simplest case, hourly wage was reported directly by the respondent. For other persons, construction of the hourly wage was based upon their salary, the time period on which the salary was based, and the number of hours worked per time period. If the number of hours worked per time period was not available, a value of 40 hours per week was assumed, as identified in the HRWAY1 variable.

Health Insurance (HELD1X and OFFER1X)

There are two employment-related health insurance measures included in this release: health insurance held from a current main job (HELD1X) and health insurance offered from a current main job (OFFER1X). The held and offer variables were logically edited using health insurance information not available for public release.

HELD1X is “yes” if the person has a current main job where the person is not self-employed with firm size = 1, reports insurance from the employer or union at that job, and this coverage provides hospital/physician benefits or Medigap benefits. HELD1X is also “yes” if the person’s current main job is with the armed forces. HELD1X is “no” if the person does not hold a current main job with the armed forces, is not self-employed at the current main job, and either reported that health insurance is not provided through that job or reported insurance but then disavowed it. To disavow insurance is to initially report it but then to deny that it is provided later in the interview or to confirm it but to indicate that it does not include hospital/physician benefits or Medigap benefits.

OFFER1X is “yes” if HELD1X is “yes” or if person has a current main job where person is not self-employed with firm size = 1 and insurance was offered through the employer or union at that job. OFFER1X is “no” if HELD1X is “no” and if the person has a current main job where person is not self-employed with firm size = 1 and insurance was not offered by the employer or union at that job.

As indicated above, information collected in the health insurance section of the interview was considered in the construction of HELD1X and OFFER1X. For example, several persons indicated in the employment section of the interview that they held health insurance through a current main job and then denied this coverage later in the health insurance section. Such people were coded as “no” for HELD1X. Due to questionnaire skip patterns, the value for HELD1X was considered in constructing the OFFER1X variable. For example, if a person responded that health insurance was held from a current main job, they were skipped past the question relating to whether health insurance was offered at that job. If the person later disavowed this insurance in the health insurance section of the questionnaire, we would not be able to ascertain whether they were offered a policy. These individuals are coded as -9 for OFFER1X.

Finally, persons under age 16 as well as persons aged 16 and older who did not hold a current main job or who were self-employed with no employees were coded as inapplicable for the health insurance-related employment variables.

Hours (HOUR1)

HOUR1 is the number of hours worked per week.

Number of Employees (NUMEMP1)

Due to confidentiality concerns, the variable indicating the number of employees at the establishment (NUMEMP1) has been top coded at 500 or more employees. NUMEMP1 indicates the number of employees at the location of the person's current main job. For persons who reported a categorical size, we report a median estimated size from within the reported range.

2.5.5 Health Insurance Variables

Constructed and edited variables are provided for general categories of health insurance coverage collected during the MEPS Panel 4 Round 1 interview. These variables include CHNOW1X (TRICARE coverage), MCARE1 (unedited Medicare coverage), MCARE1X (edited Medicare coverage), OTPUB1X (other public coverage including Medicaid and other government hospital/physician coverage), PRIV1 (private health insurance coverage), and INSRD1X (any health insurance coverage). With the exception of PRIV1, the insurance variables for the Panel 4 Round 1 observations have been edited. Minimal editing was performed on the Other Public Coverage and Medicare variables to assign persons to coverage from these sources. For TRICARE coverage, persons who were over age 65 had their reported TRICARE coverage overturned. As mentioned above, private insurance coverage was unedited and unimputed. Note that the Medicare and TRICARE variables indicate coverage at the time of the Panel 4 Round 1 interview date. The private coverage and other public insurance variables indicate coverage at any time during Panel 4 Round 1.

Medicare

Medicare (MCARE1) coverage was edited (MCARE1X) for persons age 65 or over. Within this age group, individuals were assigned Medicare coverage if:

They answered yes to a follow-up question on whether or not they received Social Security benefits; or

They were covered by Medicaid, other public hospital/physician coverage or Medigap coverage; or

Their spouse was age 65 or older and covered by Medicare; or

They reported CHAMPUS/CHAMPVA (TRICARE) coverage.

Other Public Coverage

The other public coverage variable (OTPUB1X) refers to coverage both by Medicaid and to other public hospital/physician coverage. The MEPS questionnaire asks respondents about Medicaid coverage and then asks a follow-up question on other public hospital/physician coverage in an attempt to identify

Medicaid recipients who may not have recognized their coverage as Medicaid. These questions were asked only if a respondent did not report having Medicaid coverage. The variable OTPUBIX is set to yes if a respondent indicated coverage from Medicaid or other public hospital/physician coverage.

Private Insurance

This file includes a variable indicating whether a household respondent was covered by private insurance at any time during the early part of 1999 (PRIV1). Private insurance could have been obtained from an employer, union or have been purchased directly either as part of a group or as non-group coverage. Private health insurance coverage was also reported where the respondent could not identify the source of the coverage or the coverage was obtained through a policyholder outside the household. An individual was considered to have private health insurance coverage if, at a minimum, that coverage provided benefits for hospital and physician services (including Medigap coverage). Sources of insurance with missing information regarding the type of coverage were assumed to contain hospital/physician coverage. Persons without private hospital/physician insurance were not counted as privately insured.

Any Insurance in Round 1

The file also includes a summary measure that indicates whether or not a sample person has any insurance during the early part of 1999 (INSRD1X). Persons identified as insured are those reporting coverage under TRICARE, Medicare, Medicaid or other public hospital/physician or private hospital/physician insurance (including Medigap plans). A person is considered uninsured if not covered by one of these insurance sources.

3.0 Survey Sample Information

3.1 Sample Design and Response Rates

The MEPS is designed to produce estimates at the national and regional level over time for the civilian non-institutionalized population of the United States and some subpopulations of interest. Data are collected for each MEPS panel to cover a two-year period. This file consists of the subset of data from only the fourth MEPS panel, covering approximately the first part of calendar year 1999. For the reasons described earlier in Section 1.0, this is a departure from the previous two point in time files (HC-005 and HC-009) which contained data from two different MEPS panels.

The MEPS Panel 4 initially consisted of a sample of 6,875 households in 1999, a nationally representative subsample of the households responding to the 1998 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). Similar to the earlier MEPS panels, the Panel 4 sample reflects the oversampling of Hispanics and Black households resulting from the NHIS sample design. Hispanic households were oversampled at a rate of roughly 2 to 1, while the oversampling rate for Black households was roughly 1.5 to 1.

The overall MEPS Panel 4 response rate at the end of round 1 (where collected data cover the first few months of 1999) was about 73 percent. This overall rate reflects response to both the 1998 NHIS interview and the MEPS, Panel 4, Round 1 interview.

3.2 Sample Weights

The sample weights provided in this file can be used to produce estimates for the U.S. civilian, non-institutionalized population and subgroups of this population based on the sample data. Two weights are provided: a person level weight and a family level weight.

3.2.1 Person Level Weight

A positive person level weight (WGTSP1) was assigned to all key members of the U.S. civilian non-institutionalized population for whom MEPS data were collected, representing the corresponding U.S. population in early 1999. This weight reflects the original household probability of selection for the NHIS, ratio-adjustment to NHIS national population estimates at the household level, adjustment for non-participation in MEPS, poststratification to national family estimates derived from the March 1999 Current Population Survey (CPS), and poststratification to national population figures obtained from the March 1999 CPS data at the person level. The person level poststratification reflected population distributions across census region, MSA status, race/ethnicity (Hispanic, black/non-Hispanic, other), sex, and age.

Overall, the weighted population estimate based on WGTSP1 for the civilian noninstitutionalized population is 271,004,107. Estimates can be made for this population based on the 14,974 sample persons in the file with positive weights (WGTSP1>0).

3.2.2 Family Level Weight

3.2.2.1 Definition of MEPS Families

A family unit is defined in MEPS as two or more persons living together in the same household during the reference period (in this data set, from January 1, 1999 to the date of interview) who are related by blood, marriage, or adoption (including foster children). In addition, unrelated persons who identify themselves as a family (e.g. domestic partners) are also defined as a MEPS family unit. Persons who died during the first half of 1999 and those who left the civilian non-institutionalized population part way through the reference period due to institutionalization, emigration, or enrollment in the military were considered to be family members. Relatives identified as usual residents of the household who were not there at the time of the interview, such as college students living away from their parents' home during the school year, were considered as members of the family that identified them.

3.2.2.2 Assignment of Weights

If all key in-scope members of a family responded to MEPS for their entire period of eligibility in 1999 for Round 1/Panel 4 and the family had a key reference person, then that family received a family level weight (WGTRU1>0). Reporting units consisting of an individual respondent who was both key and in-scope also received a family level weight. These individual person units can be included or excluded from family level analyses at the analyst's discretion.

Family level weights were poststratified to figures obtained from the March 1998 CPS. The family level poststratification reflected population distributions across family type (reference person married, spouse present; male reference person, no spouse present; female reference person, no spouse present), size of family, age of reference person, location of family (census region and MSA status), and race/ethnicity of the family's reference person. The weighted estimate of the number of units (families plus individual person units) with family level weights containing at least one member of the U.S. civilian non-institutionalized population is 115,254,554, based on 5,834 families with WGTRU1>0.

It should be noted that CPS and MEPS definitions of family units are slightly different. In particular, CPS does not include foster children in families or consider unmarried persons who live together as family units. Adjustments were made in the poststratification process to help compensate for some of these differences.

3.2.2.3 Instructions to Create Family Estimates

To make estimates at the family level, it is necessary to prepare a family level file containing one record per family. Each MEPS family unit is uniquely identified by the combination of the variables DUID and FAMID1. Only persons with positive nonzero family weight values (WGTRU1>0) are candidates for inclusion in family estimates. Following is a summary of steps that can be used for family level estimation.

1. Concatenate the variables DUID and FAMID1 into a new variable (e.g. DUFAM1).
2. To create a family level file, sort by DUFAM1 and then subset to one record per DUFAM1 value by retaining only the reference person record (RNDREF1=1) for each value of DUFAM1. If the analyst chooses to eliminate single person units from family analyses, it is also necessary to exclude records where FAMSIZ1=1. If aggregate measures for families are needed for analytic purposes (e.g. means or totals), then those measures need to be computed using person-level information within families and attached to the family record. For other types of variables, analysts frequently use characteristics of the reference person to represent family characteristics.
3. Apply the weight WGTRU1 to the analytic variable(s) of interest to obtain national family estimates.

3.2.3 Relationship Between Person and Family Level Weights

Some persons with positive person-level weights do not have family level weights because at least one member of their family was a non-participant in MEPS. In addition, some persons with positive family-level weights do not have person-level weights because they were either non-key or a member of the military during the first half of 1999. Analysts should only include persons with positive person-level weights for person-level analyses and persons with positive family-level weights for family-level analyses.

3.3 Variance Estimation

To obtain estimates of variability (such as the standard error of sample estimates or corresponding confidence intervals) for estimates based on MEPS survey data, one needs to take into account the complex sample design of MEPS for both person and family level analyses. Various approaches can be used to develop such estimates of variance including a Taylor series method for variance estimation or various replication methodologies. Replicate weights have not been developed for the MEPS data. We will describe the variables needed to implement a Taylor series estimation approach.

Using a Taylor Series approach, variance estimation strata and the variance estimation PSUs within these strata must be specified. The variables VARST1 and PSU1 on this MEPS data file (updated versions of corresponding variables provided in previously released MEPS public use files) serve to identify the sampling strata and primary sampling units required by the variance estimation programs. Specifying a “with replacement” design in a computer software package such as SUDAAN should provide estimated standard errors appropriate for assessing the variability of MEPS survey estimates. It should be noted that the number of degrees of freedom associated with estimates of variability indicated by such a package may not appropriately reflect the number available. For variables of interest distributed throughout the country (and thus the MEPS sample PSUs), one can generally expect to have at least 60 degrees of freedom associated with the estimated standard errors for national estimates based on this MEPS database.

4.0 Programming Information

The following are the technical specifications for the data file described in this document:

Description: MEPS 1999 P4R1 Population Characteristics
File Name: HC013xf.dat
Number of Observations: 15,409
Number of Variables: 83
Record Length: 195
Record Format: Fixed
Record Identifier and Sort Key: DUPERSID

D. CODEBOOK

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

This codebook contains weighted and unweighted frequencies for person level record identifiers, demographic, health status, employment, and health insurance variables for 1999 data obtained in Round 1 of Panel 4 of the MEPS Household Component. Weighted frequencies were derived using the WGTSP1 weight included on this file. All estimates must be weighted to obtain unbiased national estimates. The source of each variable is identified in the section of the documentation entitled "E. Variable Source Crosswalk." Sources for each variable are indicated in one of four ways: (1) variables that are derived in CAPI or assigned in CAPI are so indicated; (2) variables that are derived from complex algorithms associated with re-enumeration are labeled "RE Section;" (3) variables that come from one or more specific questions in the instrument have those question numbers listed in the SOURCE column; (4) variables that are constructed from multiple questions using complex algorithms are labeled "Constructed" in the SOURCE column. Variables that are edited and/or imputed have names that end in an "X" and are so noted in the variable label. The codebook documentation provides general information on file content, variable construction, and programming. It should be noted that these data are considered preliminary as they have not been subject to the level of quality control usually performed on products of this type. Users should refer to the MEPS web page (www.meps.ahrq.gov) for information on any post-production updates.

MEPS HC-013
 1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

ALPHABETICAL AND POSITIONAL LISTING OF VARIABLES

-----ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF VARIABLES-----

<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
88	89	ACTDTY1	MILITARY FULL-TIME ACTIVE DUTY
124	125	ACTLIM1	LIMITATION WORK/HOUSEWORK/SCHOOL
102	103	ADLHLP1	ADL SCREENER
62	63	AGE1X	AGE - (EDITED/IMPUTED)
104	105	AIDHLP1	USES ASSISTIVE DEVICES
33	34	BEGRFD1	REFERENCE PERIOD BEGIN DATE: DAY
35	36	BEGRFM1	REFERENCE PERIOD BEGIN DATE: MONTH
37	40	BEGRFY1	REFERENCE PERIOD BEGIN DATE: YEAR
118	119	BENDIF1	DIFFICULTY BENDING /STOOPING
160	160	CHNOW1X	PID COV BY CHAMPUS/VA AT INT DATE-EDITED
136	137	COGLIM1	COGNITIVE LIMITATION
64	65	DOBMM	DATE OF BIRTH: MONTH
66	69	DOBY1	DATE OF BIRTH: YEAR
1	5	DUID	DU ID
9	16	DUPERSID	PERSON ID (DUID+PID)
82	83	EDUCYR1	COMPLETED YEARS OF EDUCATION
138	139	EMPST1	EMPLOYMENT STATUS
41	42	ENDRFD1	REFERENCE PERIOD END DATE: DAY
43	44	ENDRFM1	REFERENCE PERIOD END DATE: MONTH
45	48	ENDRFY1	REFERENCE PERIOD END DATE: YEAR
17	18	FAMID1	FAMILY IDENTIFIER (STUDENT MERGED IN)
24	25	FAMSI1Z1	RU SIZE INCLUDING STUDENTS
122	123	FNGRDF1	DIFFICULTY USING FINGERS TO GRASP
86	87	FTSTD1X	STUDENT STATUS AGES 17-23 (EDIT/IMPUTED)
151	152	HELD1X	HEALTH INSURANCE HELD FROM CMJ
84	85	HIDEG1	HIGHEST DEGREE
73	73	HISPANX	HISPANIC ETHNICITY - (EDITED/IMPUTED)
74	74	HISPCAT	SPECIFIC HISPANIC ETHNICITY GROUP
148	150	HOUR1	HOURS WORKED PER WEEK AT CM JOB
140	145	HRWAG1X	HOURLY WAGE AT CURRENT MAIN JOB
146	147	HRWAY1	CALCULATION METHODS FOR HOURLY WAGE
128	129	HSELIM1	HOUSEWORK LIMITATION
100	101	IADLHP1	IADL SCREENER
50	50	INSCO1	INSCOPE
165	165	INSRD1X	PID IS INSURED - EDITED
49	49	KEYNESS	PERSON KEY STATUS
108	109	LFTDIF1	DIFFICULTY LIFTING 10 POUNDS
75	76	MARRY1X	MARITAL STATUS - (EDITED/IMPUTED)
161	161	MCARE1	PID COV BY MEDICARE
162	162	MCARE1X	PID COV BY MEDICARE - EDITED
114	115	MILDIF1	DIFFICULTY WALKING A MILE
96	97	MNHLTH1	PERCEIVED MENTAL HEALTH STATUS
98	99	MNPROX1	SELF/PROXY RATING OF MENTAL HEALTH
27	27	MSA1	MSA

MEPS HC-013
 1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

ALPHABETICAL AND POSITIONAL LISTING OF VARIABLES

-----ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF VARIABLES-----

<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
155	157	NUMEMP1	NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES AT LOCATION OF CMJ
153	154	OFFER1X	HEALTH INSURANCE OFFERED AT CMJ
163	163	OTPUBLX	PID COV BY OTHER PUBLIC INS - EDITED
6	8	PID	PERSON NUMBER
164	164	PRIV1	PID COV BY PRIVATE INS
32	32	PROXY1	WAS RESPONDENT A PROXY
51	52	PSTAT1	PERSON DISPOSITION STATUS
194	195	PSU1	VARIANCE ESTIMATION PSU
72	72	RACETHNX	RACE/ETHNICITY - (EDITED/IMPUTED)
71	71	RACEX	RACE - (EDITED/IMPUTED)
120	121	RCHDIF1	DIFFICULTY REACHING OVER HEAD
31	31	RDRESP1	1ST RESPONDENT INDICATOR
26	26	REGION1	CENSUS REGION
90	91	RFREL1X	RELATION TO REF PERS (EDITED/IMPUTED)
28	30	RNDREF1	REFERENCE PERSON
92	93	RTHLTH1	PERCEIVED HEALTH STATUS
94	95	RTPROX1	SELF/PROXY RATING OF HEALTH
23	23	RUCLAS1	RU FIELDDED AS: STANDARD, NEW, STUDENT
55	56	RUENDD1	DATE OF INTV (DATE STARTED: DAY)
57	57	RUENDM1	DATE OF INTV (DATE STARTED: MONTH)
58	61	RUENDY1	DATE OF INTV (DATE STARTED: YEAR)
19	20	RULETR1	RU LETTER
53	54	RURSLT1	RU RESULT
21	22	RUSIZE1	RU SIZE
130	131	SCHLIM1	SCHOOL LIMITATION
158	159	SELFCM1	SELF-EMPLOYED AT CURRENT MAIN JOB
70	70	SEX	SEX
134	135	SOCLIM1	SOCIAL LIMITATION
77	79	SPOUID1	SPOUSE ID
80	81	SPOUIN1	MARITAL STATUS W/SPOUSE PRESENT
116	117	STNDIF1	DIFFICULTY STANDING 20 MINUTES
110	111	STPDIF1	DIFFICULTY WALKING UP 10 STEPS
132	133	UNABLE1	COMPLETELY UNABLE TO DO ACTIVITY
192	193	VARST1	VARIANCE ESTIMATION STRATUM
179	191	WGTRU1	FAMILY WEIGHT
166	178	WGTSPI	PERSON WEIGHT
112	113	WLKDIF1	DIFFICULTY WALKING 3 BLOCKS
106	107	WLKLIM1	LIMITATION IN PHYSICAL FUNCTIONING
126	127	WRKLIM1	WORK LIMITATION

MEPS HC-013
 1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

ALPHABETICAL AND POSITIONAL LISTING OF VARIABLES

-----POSITIONAL LISTING OF VARIABLES-----

<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
1	5	DUID	DU ID
6	8	PID	PERSON NUMBER
9	16	DUPERSID	PERSON ID (DUID+PID)
17	18	FAMID1	FAMILY IDENTIFIER (STUDENT MERGED IN)
19	20	RULETR1	RU LETTER
21	22	RUSIZE1	RU SIZE
23	23	RUCLAS1	RU FIELDDED AS: STANDARD, NEW, STUDENT
24	25	FAMSIZ1	RU SIZE INCLUDING STUDENTS
26	26	REGION1	CENSUS REGION
27	27	MSA1	MSA
28	30	RNDREF1	REFERENCE PERSON
31	31	RDRESP1	1ST RESPONDENT INDICATOR
32	32	PROXY1	WAS RESPONDENT A PROXY
33	34	BEGRFD1	REFERENCE PERIOD BEGIN DATE: DAY
35	36	BEGRFM1	REFERENCE PERIOD BEGIN DATE: MONTH
37	40	BEGRFY1	REFERENCE PERIOD BEGIN DATE: YEAR
41	42	ENDRFD1	REFERENCE PERIOD END DATE: DAY
43	44	ENDRFM1	REFERENCE PERIOD END DATE: MONTH
45	48	ENDRFY1	REFERENCE PERIOD END DATE: YEAR
49	49	KEYNESS	PERSON KEY STATUS
50	50	INSCOPE	INSCOPE
51	52	PSTAT1	PERSON DISPOSITION STATUS
53	54	RURSLT1	RU RESULT
55	56	RUENDD1	DATE OF INTV (DATE STARTED: DAY)
57	57	RUENDM1	DATE OF INTV (DATE STARTED: MONTH)
58	61	RUENDY1	DATE OF INTV (DATE STARTED: YEAR)
62	63	AGE1X	AGE - (EDITED/IMPUTED)
64	65	DOBMM	DATE OF BIRTH: MONTH
66	69	DOBY1	DATE OF BIRTH: YEAR
70	70	SEX	SEX
71	71	RACEX	RACE - (EDITED/IMPUTED)
72	72	RACETHNX	RACE/ETHNICITY - (EDITED/IMPUTED)
73	73	HISPANX	HISPANIC ETHNICITY - (EDITED/IMPUTED)
74	74	HISPCAT	SPECIFIC HISPANIC ETHNICITY GROUP
75	76	MARRY1X	MARITAL STATUS - (EDITED/IMPUTED)
77	79	SPOUID1	SPOUSE ID
80	81	SPOUIN1	MARITAL STATUS W/SPOUSE PRESENT
82	83	EDUCYR1	COMPLETED YEARS OF EDUCATION
84	85	HIDEG1	HIGHEST DEGREE
86	87	FTSTD1X	STUDENT STATUS AGES 17-23 (EDIT/IMPUTED)
88	89	ACTDTY1	MILITARY FULL-TIME ACTIVE DUTY
90	91	RFREL1X	RELATION TO REF PERS (EDITED/IMPUTED)
92	93	RTHLTH1	PERCEIVED HEALTH STATUS
94	95	RTPROX1	SELF/PROXY RATING OF HEALTH

MEPS HC-013
 1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

ALPHABETICAL AND POSITIONAL LISTING OF VARIABLES

-----POSITIONAL LISTING OF VARIABLES-----

<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
96	97	MNHLTH1	PERCEIVED MENTAL HEALTH STATUS
98	99	MNPROX1	SELF/PROXY RATING OF MENTAL HEALTH
100	101	IADLHP1	IADL SCREENER
102	103	ADLHLP1	ADL SCREENER
104	105	AIDHLP1	USES ASSISTIVE DEVICES
106	107	WLKLIM1	LIMITATION IN PHYSICAL FUNCTIONING
108	109	LFTDIF1	DIFFICULTY LIFTING 10 POUNDS
110	111	STPDIF1	DIFFICULTY WALKING UP 10 STEPS
112	113	WLKDIF1	DIFFICULTY WALKING 3 BLOCKS
114	115	MILDIF1	DIFFICULTY WALKING A MILE
116	117	STNDIF1	DIFFICULTY STANDING 20 MINUTES
118	119	BENDIF1	DIFFICULTY BENDING /STOOPING
120	121	RCHDIF1	DIFFICULTY REACHING OVER HEAD
122	123	FNGRDF1	DIFFICULTY USING FINGERS TO GRASP
124	125	ACTLIM1	LIMITATION WORK/HOUSEWORK/SCHOOL
126	127	WRKLIM1	WORK LIMITATION
128	129	HSELIM1	HOUSEWORK LIMITATION
130	131	SCHLIM1	SCHOOL LIMITATION
132	133	UNABLE1	COMPLETELY UNABLE TO DO ACTIVITY
134	135	SOCLIM1	SOCIAL LIMITATION
136	137	COGLIM1	COGNITIVE LIMITATION
138	139	EMPST1	EMPLOYMENT STATUS
140	145	HRWAG1X	HOURLY WAGE AT CURRENT MAIN JOB
146	147	HRWAY1	CALCULATION METHODS FOR HOURLY WAGE
148	150	HOUR1	HOURS WORKED PER WEEK AT CM JOB
151	152	HELD1X	HEALTH INSURANCE HELD FROM CMJ
153	154	OFFER1X	HEALTH INSURANCE OFFERED AT CMJ
155	157	NUMEMP1	NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES AT LOCATION OF CMJ
158	159	SELFCM1	SELF-EMPLOYED AT CURRENT MAIN JOB
160	160	CHNOW1X	PID COV BY CHAMPUS/VA AT INT DATE-EDITED
161	161	MCARE1	PID COV BY MEDICARE
162	162	MCARE1X	PID COV BY MEDICARE - EDITED
163	163	OTPUB1X	PID COV BY OTHER PUBLIC INS - EDITED
164	164	PRIV1	PID COV BY PRIVATE INS
165	165	INSRD1X	PID IS INSURED - EDITED
166	178	WGTSPI	PERSON WEIGHT
179	191	WGTRU1	FAMILY WEIGHT
192	193	VARST1	VARIANCE ESTIMATION STRATUM
194	195	PSU1	VARIANCE ESTIMATION PSU

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>DUID</u>	<u>DU ID</u>	5.0	NUM	1	5
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	70001 - 76875	15,409		271,004,107	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>PID</u>	<u>PERSON NUMBER</u>	3.0	NUM	6	8
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	10 - 178	15,409		271,004,107	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>DUPERSID</u>	<u>PERSON ID (DUID+PID)</u>	8.0	CHAR	9	16
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	70001010 - 76875023	15,409		271,004,107	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>FAMID1</u>	<u>FAMILY IDENTIFIER (STUDENT MERGED IN)</u>	2.0	CHAR	17	18
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	A	14,807		260,279,358	
	B	524		9,282,404	
	C	66		1,149,885	
	D	6		160,927	
	E	5		80,233	
	F	1		51,299	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>RULETR1</u>	<u>RU LETTER</u>	2.0	CHAR	19	20

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
A	14,756	259,268,999
B	569	10,176,178
C	72	1,266,470
D	6	160,927
E	5	80,233
F	1	51,299
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>RUSIZE1</u>	<u>RU SIZE</u>	2.0	NUM	21	22
----------------	----------------	-----	-----	----	----

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
1 PERSON IN RU	1,637	38,520,268
2 PERSONS IN RU	3,467	69,682,908
3 PERSONS IN RU	2,903	50,990,803
4 PERSONS IN RU	3,457	56,238,909
5 PERSONS IN RU	2,245	32,798,310
6 PERSONS IN RU	977	13,113,675
7 PERSONS IN RU	458	5,417,386
8 PERSONS IN RU	140	2,551,594
9 PERSONS IN RU	93	1,275,224
10 PERSONS IN RU	20	265,816
12 PERSONS IN RU	12	149,214
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>RUCLAS1</u>	<u>RU FIELDED AS: STANDARD, NEW, STUDENT</u>	1.0	NUM	23	23
----------------	--	-----	-----	----	----

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
1 STANDARD RU	14,935	263,147,492
2 NEW RU	423	6,846,257
3 STUDENT RU	51	1,010,358
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>FAMSIZE1</u>	<u>RU SIZE INCLUDING STUDENTS</u>	2.0	NUM	24	25

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
1 PERSON	1,578	37,341,730
2 PERSONS	3,458	69,555,352
3 PERSONS	2,866	50,338,825
4 PERSONS	3,501	57,168,333
5 PERSONS	2,293	33,658,484
6 PERSONS	983	13,180,713
7 PERSONS	465	5,518,822
8 PERSONS	140	2,551,594
9 PERSONS	93	1,275,224
10 PERSONS	20	265,816
12 PERSONS	12	149,214
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>REGION1</u>	<u>CENSUS REGION</u>	1.0	NUM	26	26
----------------	----------------------	-----	-----	----	----

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
1 NORTHEAST	2,643	51,818,914
2 MIDWEST	3,098	63,383,974
3 SOUTH	5,697	94,504,421
4 WEST	3,971	61,296,797
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>MSA1</u>	<u>MSA</u>	1.0	NUM	27	27
-------------	------------	-----	-----	----	----

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
1 MSA	12,107	218,296,085
2 NON-MSA	3,302	52,708,022
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>RNDREF1</u>	<u>REFERENCE PERSON</u>	3.0	CHAR	28	30
----------------	-------------------------	-----	------	----	----

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
010 - 999	15,409	271,004,107
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>RDRESP1</u>	<u>1ST RESPONDENT INDICATOR</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>31</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 YES, FIRST RESPONDENT	5,841		113,577,215	
	2 NO, NOT FIRST RESPONDENT	9,568		157,426,892	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>PROXY1</u>	<u>WAS RESPONDENT A PROXY</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>32</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 RESPONDENT IS RU MEMBER	15,328		269,368,750	
	2 RESPONDENT IS A PROXY	81		1,635,357	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>BEGRFD1</u>	<u>REFERENCE PERIOD BEGIN DATE: DAY</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>34</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	5		0	
	-8 DK	30		146,596	
	-7 REFUSED	3		0	
	1 - 31	15,371		270,857,511	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>BEGRFM1</u>	<u>REFERENCE PERIOD BEGIN DATE: MONTH</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>36</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	4		0	
	-8 DK	13		91,416	
	-7 REFUSED	2		0	
	1 JANUARY	15,191		269,574,348	
	2 FEBRUARY	48		406,755	
	3 MARCH	39		261,908	
	4 APRIL	56		301,339	
	5 MAY	30		185,630	
	6 JUNE	16		107,886	
	7 JULY	7		65,158	
	8 AUGUST	3		9,668	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>BEGRFY1</u>	<u>REFERENCE PERIOD BEGIN DATE: YEAR</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>40</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>ENDRFD1</u>	<u>REFERENCE PERIOD END DATE: DAY</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>42</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	2		38,985	
	-8 DK	5		102,540	
	1 - 31	15,402		270,862,581	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>ENDRFM1</u>	<u>REFERENCE PERIOD END DATE: MONTH</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>44</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	1		9,820	
	1 JANUARY	12		229,808	
	2 FEBRUARY	10		153,608	
	3 MARCH	3,197		54,847,820	
	4 APRIL	4,245		73,167,024	
	5 MAY	2,689		48,461,600	
	6 JUNE	2,415		43,120,520	
	7 JULY	1,711		29,765,145	
	8 AUGUST	1,129		21,248,762	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>ENDRFY1</u>	<u>REFERENCE PERIOD END DATE: YEAR</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>48</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1999	15,409		271,004,107	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>KEYNESS</u>	<u>PERSON KEY STATUS</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>49</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 KEY	14,997		271,004,107	
	2 NOT KEY	412		0	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>INSCOPI</u>	<u>INSCOPE</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 YES	15,385		271,004,107	
	2 NO	24		0	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>PSTAT1</u>	<u>PERSON DISPOSITION STATUS</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>52</u>

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
11 PERSON IN HOUSEHLD, NOT FT ACTIVE MIL	14,047	254,306,662
12 IN HOUSEHLD, FT MIL DUTY-OUT-SCOPE	21	0
13 MOVED, FT STUD LIVING AWAY FROM HOME	51	1,010,358
14 IN ORIG HH, FT ACTIVE DUTY-INSCOPE	1	5,963
31 DECEASED	27	575,799
32 INSTITUTIONALIZED IN HEALTH CARE FAC	9	209,604
33 INSTITUTIONALIZED NON-HEALTH CARE FAC	1	9,820
34 MOVED OUTSIDE U.S., NOT AS STUDENT	9	132,300
35 MOVED, FT ACTIVE DUTY	2	27,429
41 MOVED WITHIN U.S.	308	5,829,231
42 PERSON WHO JOINED RU, NOT FT MIL	699	5,169,199
44 JOINS ANOTHER RU, & IS INSCOPE	14	337,822
51 NEWBORN IN REF PERIOD	220	3,389,918
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>RURSLT1</u>	<u>RU RESULT</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>CHAR</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>54</u>
----------------	------------------	------------	-------------	-----------	-----------

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
60 COMPLETE WITH RU MEMBER	15,328	269,368,750
61 PROXY-ALL RU MEMBERS DECEASED	9	200,009
62 PROXY-ALL RU MEMS INSTITUT/DECEASED	8	174,917
63 COMPLETE WITH PROXY, OTHER	64	1,260,431
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>RUENDD1</u>	<u>DATE OF INTV (DATE STARTED: DAY)</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>
----------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	-----------

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
1 - 31	15,409	271,004,107
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>RUENDM1</u>	<u>DATE OF INTV (DATE STARTED: MONTH)</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>57</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	3 MARCH	3,193	54,756,689		
	4 APRIL	4,244	73,135,074		
	5 MAY	2,696	48,566,678		
	6 JUNE	2,421	43,236,764		
	7 JULY	1,717	29,878,516		
	8 AUGUST	1,138	21,430,386		
	TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107		
<u>RUENDY1</u>	<u>DATE OF INTV (DATE STARTED: YEAR)</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>61</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	1999	15,409	271,004,107		
	TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107		
<u>AGE1X</u>	<u>AGE - (EDITED/IMPUTED)</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>63</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	0 - 4	1,258	19,559,991		
	5 - 17	3,294	52,316,531		
	18 - 24	1,389	24,493,425		
	25 - 44	4,597	82,108,116		
	45 - 64	3,196	60,131,608		
	65 - 90	1,675	32,394,436		
	TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107		

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>DOBMM</u>	<u>DATE OF BIRTH: MONTH</u>	2.0	NUM	64	65

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
1 JANUARY	1,279	22,843,758
2 FEBRUARY	1,200	20,798,262
3 MARCH	1,329	23,093,610
4 APRIL	1,166	20,783,483
5 MAY	1,214	22,169,149
6 JUNE	1,268	21,849,901
7 JULY	1,358	24,011,825
8 AUGUST	1,392	25,059,440
9 SEPTEMBER	1,363	23,723,717
10 OCTOBER	1,277	22,348,766
11 NOVEMBER	1,248	21,394,454
12 DECEMBER	1,315	22,927,742
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>DOBY</u>	<u>DATE OF BIRTH: YEAR</u>	4.0	NUM	66	69
-------------	----------------------------	-----	-----	----	----

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
1908 - 1919	443	8,449,681
1920 - 1939	1,861	36,090,020
1940 - 1959	3,886	72,033,950
1960 - 1979	4,329	72,033,950
1980 - 1999	4,890	271,004,017
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>SEX</u>	<u>SEX</u>	1.0	NUM	70	70
------------	------------	-----	-----	----	----

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
1 MALE	7,462	132,092,902
2 FEMALE	7,947	138,911,205
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>RACEX</u>	<u>RACE - (EDITED/IMPUTED)</u>	1.0	NUM	71	71
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 AMERICAN INDIAN	162		3,208,349	
	2 ALEUT, ESKIMO	5		51,994	
	3 ASIAN OR PACIFIC ISLANDER	445		9,900,509	
	4 BLACK	2,393		35,745,364	
	5 WHITE	12,404		222,097,891	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>RACETHNX</u>	<u>RACE/ETHNICITY - (EDITED/IMPUTED)</u>	1.0	NUM	72	72
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 PERSON IS HISPANIC	3,728		31,644,578	
	2 PERSON IS BLACK/NOT HISPANIC	2,257		34,059,600	
	3 OTHER	9,424		205,299,929	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>HISPANX</u>	<u>HISPANIC ETHNICITY - (EDITED/IMPUTED)</u>	1.0	NUM	73	73
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 HISPANIC	3,728		31,644,578	
	2 NOT HISPANIC	11,681		239,359,529	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>HISPCAT</u>	<u>SPECIFIC HISPANIC ETHNICITY GROUP</u>	1.0	NUM	74	74
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 PUERTO RICAN	338		3,180,462	
	2 CUBAN	165		1,848,209	
	3 MEXICAN/MEXICAN AMER/MEXICANO/CHICANO	2,567		19,404,895	
	4 OTHER LATIN AMERICAN/OTHER SPECIFY	658		7,211,012	
	5 NON-HISPANIC	11,681		239,359,529	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>MARRY1X</u>	<u>MARITAL STATUS - (EDITED/IMPUTED)</u>	2.0	NUM	75	76

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	2	10,828
-8 DK	3	29,262
-7 REFUSED	1	30,279
1 MARRIED	354	3,591,717
2 WIDOWED	588	11,284,388
3 DIVORCED	710	13,997,886
4 SEPARATED	146	2,349,102
5 NEVER MARRIED	2,865	56,473,154
6 UNDER 16 INAPPLICABLE	4,094	63,855,991
7 MARRIED IN ROUND	6,041	106,975,095
8 WIDOWED IN ROUND	128	2,498,262
9 DIVORCED IN ROUND	364	8,023,327
10 SEPARATED IN ROUND	113	1,884,818
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>SPOUID1</u>	<u>SPOUSE ID</u>	3.0	CHAR	77	79
----------------	------------------	-----	------	----	----

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
010 - 994 VALID SPOUSEID	6,314	109,237,560
995 NO SPOUSE IN HOUSE	4,995	97,840,188
996 MARITAL STATUS UNKNOWN	6	70,369
997 LESS THAN 16 YRS OLD	4,094	63,855,991
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>SPOUIN1</u>	<u>MARITAL STATUS W/SPOUSE PRESENT</u>	2.0	NUM	80	81
----------------	--	-----	-----	----	----

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	6	70,369
1 SPOUSE IN THE HOUSE	6,314	109,237,560
2 NOT MARRIED/NO SPOUSE	4,995	97,840,188
3 UNDER 16 INAPPLICABLE	4,094	63,855,991
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>EDUCYR1</u>	<u>COMPLETED YEARS OF EDUCATION</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>83</u>

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	10	24,315
-8 DK	113	1,761,964
-7 REFUSED	13	263,105
-1 INAPPLICABLE	1,258	19,559,991
0 NEVER ATTENDED SCH	656	10,155,935
1 - 8 GRADES 1 - 8	3,164	45,689,933
9 - 11 GRADES 9 - 11	2,078	34,304,022
12 GRADE 12	3,522	65,042,768
13 1 YEAR COLLEGE	743	14,626,555
14 2 YEARS COLLEGE	1,207	23,153,313
15 3 YEARS COLLEGE	399	7,844,001
16 4 YEARS COLLEGE	1,317	28,838,326
17 5+ YEARS COLLEGE	929	19,739,878
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>HIDEG1</u>	<u>HIGHEST DEGREE</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>85</u>
---------------	-----------------------	------------	------------	-----------	-----------

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	10	65,856
-8 DK	64	1,046,363
-7 REFUSED	11	221,022
1 NO DEGREE	2,826	41,455,526
2 GED	530	8,976,119
3 HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	5,064	96,237,385
4 BACHELOR'S DEGREE	1,413	31,017,438
5 MASTER'S DEGREE	576	12,125,875
6 DOCTORATE DEGREE	151	3,080,591
7 OTHER DEGREE	670	12,921,942
8 UNDER 16 - INAPPLICABLE	4,094	63,855,991
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>FTSTD1X</u>	<u>STUDENT STATUS AGES 17-23 (EDIT/IMPUTED)</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>87</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	3		5,963	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	13,977		245,760,258	
	1 FULL-TIME	606		11,582,991	
	2 PART-TIME	126		2,191,234	
	3 NOT A STUDENT	697		11,463,662	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>ACTDTY1</u>	<u>MILITARY FULL-TIME ACTIVE DUTY</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>89</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	4		21,271	
	1 YES - ACTIVE DUTY	27		33,392	
	2 NO - NOT FULL-TIME ACTIVE DUTY	9,045		163,854,600	
	3 UNDER 16 - INAPPLICABLE	4,094		63,855,991	
	4 OVER 59 - INAPPLICABLE	2,239		43,238,854	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>RFREL1X</u>	<u>RELATION TO REF PERS (EDITED/IMPUTED)</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>91</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-8 DK	1		25,457	
	0 SELF	5,903		115,547,548	
	1 MOTHER	120		1,772,505	
	2 FATHER	36		409,443	
	3 SISTER/STEP/HALF	65		803,103	
	4 BROTHER/STEP/HALF	87		1,094,286	
	5 DAUGHTER/ADOPTED DAUGHTER	2,501		40,803,998	
	6 SON/ADOPTED SON	2,771		46,521,345	
	7 WIFE/SPOUSE	2,146		37,226,854	

(CONT'D ON NEXT PAGE)

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>RFREL1X</u>	<u>RELATION TO REF PERS (EDITED/IMPUTED)</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>91</u>

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
(CONT'D FROM PREVIOUS PAGE)		
8 SPOUSE	941	15,855,245
9 MOTHER-IN-LAW	22	246,514
10 FATHER-IN-LAW	8	112,432
11 SISTER-IN-LAW	2	19,676
12 BROTHER-IN-LAW	9	74,417
13 DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	8	13,041
14 SON-IN-LAW	9	62,208
16 STEPFATHER	4	11,041
17 STEPDAUGHTER	24	275,892
18 STEPSON	17	132,117
19 GRANDMOTHER	6	31,670
20 GRANDFATHER	2	38,280
21 AUNT	6	69,086
22 UNCLE	4	60,387
23 NIECE	30	270,624
24 NEPHEW	29	325,353
25 COUSIN	18	207,389
26 GRANDSON	158	2,220,690
27 GRANDDAUGHTER	168	2,153,921
28 FEMALE PARTNER	128	1,989,495
29 MALE PARTNER	119	2,150,095
32 DAUGHTER OF PARTNER	25	219,796
33 SON OF PARTNER	14	71,329
37 GREAT GRANDDAUGHTER	5	66,495
38 GREAT GRANDSON	3	31,618
41 GREAT NIECE	3	0
42 GREAT NEPHEW	3	25,879
46 FOSTER SON	2	6,888
91 OTHER RELATED, SPECIFY	12	57,991
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>RTHLTH1</u>	<u>PERCEIVED HEALTH STATUS</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>93</u>

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	17	0
-8 DK	4	36,945
-7 REFUSED	2	0
-1 INAPPLICABLE	27	575,799
1 EXCELLENT	5,335	96,416,186
2 VERY GOOD	4,834	85,738,878
3 GOOD	3,508	59,633,598
4 FAIR	1,199	20,550,596
5 POOR	483	8,052,105
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>RTPROX1</u>	<u>SELF/PROXY RATING OF HEALTH</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>95</u>
----------------	------------------------------------	------------	------------	-----------	-----------

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
-1 INAPPLICABLE	31	575,799
1 SELF	6,866	130,974,121
2 PROXY	8,512	139,454,186
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

<u>MNHLTH1</u>	<u>PERCEIVED MENTAL HEALTH STATUS</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>97</u>
----------------	---------------------------------------	------------	------------	-----------	-----------

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>
-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	17	0
-8 DK	7	104,965
-7 REFUSED	2	0
-1 INAPPLICABLE	27	575,799
1 EXCELLENT	7,070	128,027,254
2 VERY GOOD	4,626	80,303,697
3 GOOD	2,921	49,634,277
4 FAIR	568	9,668,965
5 POOR	171	2,689,151
TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>MNPROX1</u>	<u>SELF/PROXY RATING OF MENTAL HEALTH</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>99</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	31		575,799	
	1 SELF	6,796		129,873,552	
	2 PROXY	8,582		140,554,756	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>IADLHP1</u>	<u>IADL SCREENER</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>101</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-8 DK	1		46,787	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	27		575,799	
	1 YES	452		8,274,805	
	2 NO	14,929		262,106,717	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>ADLHLP1</u>	<u>ADL SCREENER</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>103</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-8 DK	1		46,787	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	27		575,799	
	1 YES	234		4,026,225	
	2 NO	15,147		266,355,296	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>AIDHLP1</u>	<u>USES ASSISTIVE DEVICES</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>105</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-8 DK	1		22,066	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	27		575,799	
	1 YES	477		8,784,594	
	2 NO	14,904		261,621,649	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>WLKLIM1</u>	<u>LIMITATION IN PHYSICAL FUNCTIONING</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>106</u>	<u>107</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-8 DK	75		1,289,709	
	-7 REFUSED	2		28,928	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	27		575,799	
	1 YES	1,223		22,785,836	
	2 NO	14,082		246,323,835	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>LFTDIF1</u>	<u>DIFFICULTY LIFTING 10 POUNDS</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>108</u>	<u>109</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-8 DK	1		10,397	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,186		248,218,271	
	1 NO DIFFICULTY	476		9,451,836	
	2 SOME DIFFICULTY	366		6,902,457	
	3 A LOT OF DIFFICULTY	195		3,450,704	
	4 UNABLE TO DO	185		2,970,442	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>STPDIF1</u>	<u>DIFFICULTY WALKING UP 10 STEPS</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>111</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-7 REFUSED	1		43,069	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,186		248,218,271	
	1 NO DIFFICULTY	422		8,126,953	
	2 SOME DIFFICULTY	399		7,496,396	
	3 A LOT OF DIFFICULTY	222		4,051,387	
	4 COMPLETELY UNABLE TO DO IT	128		2,199,200	
	5 COMPLETELY UNABLE TO WALK	51		868,832	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>WLKDIF1</u>	<u>DIFFICULTY WALKING 3 BLOCKS</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>113</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	-8 DK	1	19,091		
	-7 REFUSED	1	43,069		
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,186	248,218,271		
	1 NO DIFFICULTY	277	5,456,304		
	2 SOME DIFFICULTY	313	5,873,762		
	3 A LOT OF DIFFICULTY	252	4,575,160		
	4 UNABLE TO DO	379	6,818,449		
	TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107		
<u>MILDIF1</u>	<u>DIFFICULTY WALKING A MILE</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>115</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	-8 DK	5	94,410		
	-7 REFUSED	1	43,069		
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,186	248,218,271		
	1 NO DIFFICULTY	187	3,724,760		
	2 SOME DIFFICULTY	226	4,368,294		
	3 A LOT OF DIFFICULTY	216	3,849,629		
	4 UNABLE TO DO	588	10,705,674		
	TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107		
<u>STNDIF1</u>	<u>DIFFICULTY STANDING 20 MINUTES</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>116</u>	<u>117</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	-8 DK	3	55,468		
	-7 REFUSED	1	43,069		
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,186	248,218,271		
	1 NO DIFFICULTY	348	6,589,866		
	2 SOME DIFFICULTY	413	8,002,569		
	3 A LOT OF DIFFICULTY	219	3,939,483		
	4 UNABLE TO DO	239	4,155,381		
	TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107		

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>BENDIF1</u>	<u>DIFFICULTY BENDING /STOOPING</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>119</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	-8 DK	1	10,397		
	-7 REFUSED	1	43,069		
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,186	248,218,271		
	1 NO DIFFICULTY	317	6,130,114		
	2 SOME DIFFICULTY	433	8,369,820		
	3 A LOT OF DIFFICULTY	253	4,514,536		
	4 UNABLE TO DO	218	3,717,900		
	TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107		
<u>RCHDIF1</u>	<u>DIFFICULTY REACHING OVER HEAD</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>121</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	-8 DK	1	10,397		
	-7 REFUSED	1	43,069		
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,186	248,218,271		
	1 NO DIFFICULTY	554	10,917,320		
	2 SOME DIFFICULTY	347	6,358,659		
	3 A LOT OF DIFFICULTY	190	3,447,786		
	4 UNABLE TO DO	130	2,008,605		
	TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107		
<u>FNGRDF1</u>	<u>DIFFICULTY USING FINGERS TO GRASP</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>122</u>	<u>123</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	-8 DK	1	10,397		
	-7 REFUSED	1	43,069		
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,186	248,218,271		
	1 NO DIFFICULTY	778	14,711,888		
	2 SOME DIFFICULTY	290	5,508,471		
	3 A LOT OF DIFFICULTY	126	2,140,318		
	4 UNABLE TO DO	27	371,692		
	TOTAL	15,409	271,004,107		

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>ACTLIM1</u>	<u>LIMITATION WORK/HOUSEWORK/SCHOOL</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>124</u>	<u>125</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-8 DK	17		265,307	
	-7 REFUSED	1		55,516	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	1,284		20,113,905	
	1 YES	941		17,143,542	
	2 NO	13,166		233,425,836	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>WRKLIM1</u>	<u>WORK LIMITATION</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>126</u>	<u>127</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-8 DK	18		300,251	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,451		253,595,258	
	1 YES	821		14,514,629	
	2 NO	119		2,593,970	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>HSELIM1</u>	<u>HOUSEWORK LIMITATION</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>128</u>	<u>129</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-8 DK	18		300,251	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,451		253,595,258	
	1 YES	610		11,098,501	
	2 NO	330		6,010,097	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>SCHLIM1</u>	<u>SCHOOL LIMITATION</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>131</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-8 DK	18		300,251	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,451		253,595,258	
	1 YES	350		6,228,813	
	2 NO	590		10,879,785	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>UNABLE1</u>	<u>COMPLETELY UNABLE TO DO ACTIVITY</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>132</u>	<u>133</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	-8 DK	2		31,456	
	-7 REFUSED	1		4,871	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	14,471		253,954,785	
	1 YES	579		9,741,930	
	2 NO	356		7,271,065	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>SOCLIM1</u>	<u>SOCIAL LIMITATION</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>134</u>	<u>135</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	-8 DK	27		400,686	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	27		575,799	
	1 YES	566		10,636,730	
	2 NO	14,789		259,390,891	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>COGLIM1</u>	<u>COGNITIVE LIMITATION</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>136</u>	<u>137</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>	<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>		
	-8 DK	65		587,789	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	4,578		72,430,436	
	1 YES	409		7,196,466	
	2 NO	10,357		190,789,416	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>EMPST1</u>	<u>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>138</u>	<u>139</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	69		977,676	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	4,094		63,855,991	
	1 CURRENTLY EMPLOYED	7,181		135,214,628	
	2 HAS JOB TO RETURN TO	87		1,325,372	
	3 EMPLOYED DURING REFERENCE PERIOD	255		4,337,947	
	4 NOT EMPLOYED WITH NO JOB TO RETURN TO	3,723		65,292,493	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>HRWAG1X</u>	<u>HOURLY WAGE AT CURRENT MAIN JOB</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>140</u>	<u>145</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-10 HOURLY WAGE > 55.47	64		1,361,723	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	472		8,271,252	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	9,041		152,351,630	
	0.02 - 55.47	5,832		109,019,501	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>HRWAY1</u>	<u>CALCULATION METHODS FOR HOURLY WAGE</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>146</u>	<u>147</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	472		8,271,252	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	9,041		152,351,630	
	1 HOURLY WAGE	3,164		57,214,244	
	2 MEDIAN	837		15,429,981	
	3 SALARY	1,723		34,616,813	
	4 BY DAY	26		424,665	
	5 PIECEWORK	96		1,689,516	
	6 COMMISSION	50		1,006,005	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>HOUR1</u>	<u>HOURS WORKED PER WEEK AT CM JOB</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>148</u>	<u>150</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	5		87,095	
	-8 DK	170		2,843,606	
	-7 REFUSED	32		557,786	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	8,140		134,453,189	
	0	1		14,010	
	1 - 168	7,061		133,048,421	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>HELD1X</u>	<u>HEALTH INSURANCE HELD FROM CMJ</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>152</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	8,584		143,381,945	
	1 YES	3,775		72,763,974	
	2 NO	3,050		54,858,188	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>OFFER1X</u>	<u>HEALTH INSURANCE OFFERED AT CMJ</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>153</u>	<u>154</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	331		6,124,655	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	8,584		143,381,945	
	1 YES	4,441		84,705,060	
	2 NO	2,053		36,792,448	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>NUMEMP1</u>	<u>NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES AT LOCATION OF CMJ</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>155</u>	<u>157</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	451		8,078,334	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	8,141		134,464,107	
	1 - 500	6,817		128,461,666	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>SELFCM1</u>	<u>SELF-EMPLOYED AT CURRENT MAIN JOB</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>158</u>	<u>159</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	-9 NOT ASCERTAINED	8		126,041	
	-1 INAPPLICABLE	8,141		134,464,107	
	1 SELF-EMPLOYED	900		17,887,523	
	2 WORKS FOR SOMEONE ELSE	6,360		118,526,436	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>CHNOW1X</u>	<u>PID COV BY CHAMPUS/VA AT INT DATE-EDITED</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>160</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 YES	175		2,886,183	
	2 NO	15,234		268,117,924	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>MCARE1</u>	<u>PID COV BY MEDICARE</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>161</u>	<u>161</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 YES	1,685		32,595,507	
	2 NO	13,724		238,408,600	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>MCARE1X</u>	<u>PID COV BY MEDICARE - EDITED</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>162</u>	<u>162</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 YES	1,867		35,956,514	
	2 NO	13,542		235,047,593	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>OTPub1X</u>	<u>PID COV BY OTHER PUBLIC INS - EDITED</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>163</u>	<u>163</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 YES	2,077		30,292,076	
	2 NO	13,332		240,712,031	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>PRIV1</u>	<u>PID COV BY PRIVATE INS</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>164</u>	<u>164</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 YES	9,673		184,453,974	
	2 NO	5,736		86,550,134	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>INSRD1X</u>	<u>PID IS INSURED - EDITED</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>165</u>	<u>165</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 YES	12,458		228,169,013	
	2 NO	2,951		42,835,094	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>WGTSP1</u>	<u>PERSON WEIGHT</u>	<u>13.6</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>166</u>	<u>178</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	0	435		0	
	1196.285992 - 124411.220354	14,974		271,004,107	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>WGTRU1</u>	<u>FAMILY WEIGHT</u>	<u>13.6</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>179</u>	<u>191</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	0	91		1,732,464	
	1640.061484 - 124411.220354	15,318		269,271,643	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

MEPS HC-013
1999 Panel 4 Round 1 Population Characteristics

DATE: July 25, 2000

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMAT</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>VARST1</u>	<u>VARIANCE ESTIMATION STRATUM</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>192</u>	<u>193</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 - 100	15,409		271,004,107	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	
<u>PSU1</u>	<u>VARIANCE ESTIMATION PSU</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>NUM</u>	<u>194</u>	<u>195</u>
	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>UNWEIGHTED</u>		<u>WEIGHTED BY WGTSP1</u>	
	1 - 56	15,409		271,004,107	
	TOTAL	15,409		271,004,107	

E. VARIABLE-SOURCE CROSSWALK

SURVEY ADMINISTRATION VARIABLES

VARIABLE	LABEL	SOURCE
DUID	DU ID	Assigned in Sampling
PID	Person Number	Assigned in Sampling or by CAPI
DUPERSID	Person ID (DUID+PID)	Assigned in Sampling
FAMID1	Family Identifier (Student Merged In)	CAPI Derived
RULETR1	RU Letter	CAPI Derived
RUSIZE1	RU Size	CAPI Derived
RUCLAS1	RU Fielded As: Standard, New, Student	CAPI Derived
FAMSIZE1	RU Size Including Students	CAPI Derived
REGION1	Census Region	Assigned in Sampling
MSA1	MSA	Assigned in Sampling
RNDREF1	Reference Person	RE 42-45
RDRESP1	1st Respondent Indicator	RE 6, 8
PROXY1	Was Respondent A Proxy	RE 2
BEGRFD1	Reference Period Begin Date: Day	CAPI Derived
BEGRFM1	Reference Period Begin Date: Month	CAPI Derived
BEGRFY1	Reference Period Begin Date: Year	CAPI Derived
ENDRFD1	Reference Period End Date: Day	CAPI Derived
ENDRFM1	Reference Period End Date: Month	CAPI Derived
ENDRFY1	Reference Period End Date: Year	CAPI Derived
KEYNESS	Person Key Status	RE Section
INSCOPI	In-scope	RE Section
PSTAT1	Person Disposition Status	RE Section
RURSLT1	RU Result	Assigned by CAPI
RUENDD1	Date of Intv (Date Started: Day)	Assigned by CAPI
RUENDM1	Date of Intv (Date Started: Month)	Assigned by CAPI
RUENDY1	Date of Intv (Date Started: Year)	Assigned by CAPI

DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

VARIABLE	LABEL	SOURCE
AGE1X	Age - (Edited/Imputed)	RE 12, 57-66
DOBMM	Date of Birth: Month	RE 12, 57-66
DOBYY	Date of Birth: Year	RE 12, 57-66
SEX	Sex	RE 12, 57, 61
RACEX	Race - (Edited/Imputed)	RE 101, 102
RACETHNX	Race/Ethnicity - (Edited/Imputed)	RE 98-102
HISPANX	Hispanic Ethnicity - (Edited/Imputed)	RE 98-100
HISPCAT	Specific Hispanic Ethnicity Group	RE 98-100
MARRY1X	Marital Status - (Edited/Imputed)	RE 13, 97
SPOUID1	Spouse ID	RE 13, 97
SPOUIN1	Marital Status W/ Spouse Present	RE 13, 97
EDUCYR1	Completed Years of Education	RE 103-105
HIDEG1	Highest Degree	RE 103-105
FTSTD1X	Student Status Ages 17-23 (Edit/Imputed)	RE 11A, 106-108
ACTDTY1	Military Full-Time Active Duty	RE14, 96
RFREL1X	Relation To Ref Pers (Edited/Imputed)	RE 76-77

HEALTH STATUS VARIABLES

VARIABLE	LABEL	SOURCE
RTHLTH1	Perceived Health Status	CE 1
RTPROX1	Self/Proxy Rating of Health	CE 1
MNHLTH1	Perceived Mental Health Status	CE 2
MNPROX1	Self/Proxy Rating of Mental Health	CE 2
IADLHP1	IADL Screener	HE 2,3
ADLHLP1	ADL Screener	HE 5,6
AIDHLP1	Uses Assistive Devices	HE 7,8
WLKLIM1	Limitation in Physical Functioning	HE 9,10
LFTDIF1	Difficulty Lifting 10 Pounds	HE 11
STPDIF1	Difficulty Walking Up 10 Steps	HE 12
WLKDIF1	Difficulty Walking 3 Blocks	HE 13
MILDIF1	Difficulty Walking a Mile	HE 14
STNDIF1	Difficulty Standing 20 Minutes	HE 15
BENDIF1	Difficulty Bending/Stooping	HE 16
RCHDIF1	Difficulty Reaching Over Head	HE 17
FNGRDF1	Difficulty Using Fingers to Grasp	HE 18
ACTLIM1	Limitation Work/Housework/School	HE 19,20
WRKLIM1	Work Limitation	HE 19,20
HSELIM1	Housework Limitation	HE 19,20
SCHLIM1	School Limitation	HE 19,20
UNABLE1	Completely Unable To Do Activity	HE 21
SOCLIM1	Social Limitation	HE 22,23
COGLIM1	Cognitive Limitation	HE 24,25

EMPLOYMENT VARIABLES

VARIABLE	LABEL	SOURCE
EMPST1	Employment Status	EM 1-3
HRWAG1X	Hourly Wage at Current Main Job	EW section EM 104, 111
HRWAY1	Calculation Methods for Hourly Wage	EM 1-3, 51, 65,104, 111; EW section
HOUR1	Hours Worked Per Week at CM Job	EM 1-3, 51, 65, 104-105, 111; EW 17
HELD1X	Health Insurance Held From CMJ	EM, HX, and HP sections
OFFER1X	Health Insurance Offered at CMJ	EM, HX, and HP sections
NUMEMP1	Number of Employees at Location of CMJ	EM 91-92, 124
SELFCM1	Self-Employed at Current Main Job	EM 1-3, 5, 11, 18, 27, 40, 53

HEALTH INSURANCE VARIABLES

VARIABLE	LABEL	SOURCE
CHNOW1X	PID Cov By CHAMPUS/VA at Int Date - Edited	HX 12, 13; HQ section; AGE1X
MCARE1	PID Cov By MEDICARE	HX 5 - 7
MCARE1X	PID Cov By MEDICARE - Edited	HX 5 - 7, 10 - 15; PRIV1 and HX 48
OTPUB1X	PID Cov By Other Public Ins - Edited	HX 10, 11, 14, 15, 18, 19; HQ section
PRIV1	PID Cov By Private Ins	HX 2 - 4, 21 - 24, 48; HP, HQ, and EM sections
INSRD1X	PID Is Insured - Edited	CHNOW1X, MCARE1X, OTPUB1X, PRIV1

WEIGHTS

VARIABLE	LABEL	SOURCE
WGTSP1	Person Weight	Constructed
WGTRU1	Family Weight	Constructed
VARST1	Variance Estimation Stratum	Constructed
PSU1	Variance Estimation PSU	Constructed

F. MEPS HOUSEHOLD COMPONENT DATA ITEMS

MEPS HC Data Items

Data Item	001	002 (F1)	002 (F2)	003	004	005*	006	007	008	009 ^	011	013 [#]
RECORD IDENTIFIERS												
Dwelling unit level	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Person level	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Family level	x ¹		x ²	x ^{12e}	x ^a	x ³¹			x ^{12ea}	x ³¹		x ¹
Reporting unit level	x ¹			x ^{12e}		x ³¹			x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
Establishment								x				
Union								x				
Condition							x					
Panel						x				x		x
SURVEY ADMINISTRATION AND ELIGIBILITY STATUS												
Eligibility, response status	x ¹		x ²	x ^{123ea}		x ³¹	x ¹²³		x ^{123ea}	x ³¹		x ¹
Interview dates	x ¹					x ³¹				x ³¹		x ¹
Reference period dates	x ¹			x ^{123e}		x ³¹	x ³		x ^{123e}	x ³¹		x ¹
Respondent identifiers	x ¹			x ^{12e}		x ³¹			x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
DEMOGRAPHICS												
Census region	x ¹			x ^{123e}		x ³¹			x ^{123e}	x ³¹		x ¹
MSA status	x ¹			x ^{3e}		x ³¹			x ^{3e}	x ³¹		x ¹
Age	x ¹			x ^{12e}		x ³¹			x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
Month, year of birth	x			x		x			x	x		x
Race	x			x		x			x	x		x
Race/ethnicity	x			x		x			x	x		x
Hispanic ancestry	x			x		x			x	x		x

* Point-in-time data for the first part of 1997

^ Point-in-time data for the first part of 1998

Point-in-time data for the first part of 1999

Reference Period:

¹ = Round 1

² = Round 2

³ = Round 3

^e = End of year (12/31/1996)

^a = Annualized, for all of 1996

Data Item	001	002 (F1)	002 (F2)	003	004	005*	006	007	008	009 ^	011	013#
Sex	x			x		x			x	x		x
Education	x ¹			x ^{12e}		x ³¹			x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
Veteran status	x			x					x			
Current/past military service	x			x ¹²		x ³¹			x ¹²	x ³¹		x ¹
Student status, age 17-23	x ¹			x ^{12e}		x ³¹			x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS												
Marital status	x ¹			x ^{12e}		x ³¹			x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
Parent identifiers		x		x					x			
Spouse identifier	x ¹			x ^{12e}		x ³¹			x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
Reference person identifier	x ¹		x ²	x ^{12e}	x ^a	x ³¹			x ^{12ea}	x ³¹		x ¹
Relationship to reference person	x ¹			x ^{12e}		x ³¹			x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
Family size				x ^{12e}	x ^{ea}	x ³¹			x ^{12ea}	x ³¹		x ¹
Reporting unit size	x ¹			x ^{12e}		x ³¹			x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
Type of reporting unit	x ¹			x ^{12e}		x ³¹			x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
HEALTH STATUS AND ATTITUDES												
Height and weight, age 0-17			x ²						x ²			
Health practices - preventive care				x ³								
Health practices - alternative care use				x ^a					x ^a			
Perceived health status	x ¹		x ²			x ³¹			x ¹²	x ³¹		x ¹
Perceived mental health status	x ¹		x ²			x ³¹			x ¹²	x ³¹		x ¹
Condition present							x ¹²³					
ICD-9 codes							x ^a					
Specifics about conditions							x ^a					

* Point-in-time data for the first part of 1997

^ Point-in-time data for the first part of 1998

Point-in-time data for the first part of 1999

Reference Period:

¹ = Round 1

² = Round 2

³ = Round 3

^e = End of year (12/31/1996)

^a = Annualized, for all of 1996

Data Item	001	002 (F1)	002 (F2)	003	004	005*	006	007	008	009 ^	011	013 [#]
Immunizations, age 0-6			x ²						x ²			
Dental status				x ³								
ADL/IADL difficulties	x ¹		x ²			x ³¹			x ¹²	x ³¹		x ¹
Use of special equipment/devices	x ¹					x ³¹			x ¹	x ³¹		x ¹
Limitations, adults	x ¹					x ³¹			x ¹	x ³¹		x ¹
Limitations, children	x ¹		x ²						x ²			
Social problems, age 5-17			x ²						x ²			
Special education/therapies, age 5-17			x ²						x ²			
Vision, hearing									x ²			
ACCESS TO CARE												
Usual source of care (USC)			x									
Characteristics of USC			x									
Reasons for no USC			x									
Barriers to care			x									
EMPLOYMENT												
Employment status	x ¹			x ¹²	x ^{2e}	x ³¹		x	x ^{12e}	x ³¹		x ¹
Wage rate	x ¹			x ¹²	x ^e	x ³¹		x		x ³¹		x ¹
Income from job								x				
Hours worked per week	x ¹			x ¹²	x ^e	x ³¹		x		x ³¹		x ¹
Job start date					x ^{12e}			x				
Job stop date								x				
Size of employer	x ¹			x ¹²	x ^e	x ³¹		x		x ³¹		x ¹
Union membership	x ¹			x ¹²	x ^e			x				

* Point-in-time data for the first part of 1997

^ Point-in-time data for the first part of 1998

Point-in-time data for the first part of 1999

Reference Period:

¹ = Round 1

² = Round 2

³ = Round 3

^e = End of year (12/31/1996)

^a = Annualized, for all of 1996

Data Item	001	002 (F1)	002 (F2)	003	004	005*	006	007	008	009 ^	011	013#
Industry, occupation codes				X ¹²	X ^{12e}			X				
Other job characteristics	X ¹			X ¹²	X ^e			X				
Job change, reason					X ^{2e}							
Changes in wages, full/part time								X				
Benefits at current main job	X ¹			X ¹²	X ^e			X				
Retirement status	X ¹				X ^e			X				
Reason not working					X ^{12e}			X				
Start, end times					X ^{12e}			X				
Self employed or not	X ¹			X ¹²	X ^e	X ³¹		X		X ³¹		X ¹
INSURANCE												
Health insurance from employment	X ¹			X ¹²	X ^e	X ³¹		X	X ^{2e}	X ³¹		X ¹
Medicare coverage @ interview	X ¹			X ¹		X ³¹				X ³¹		X ¹
Medicare coverage each month									X			
CHAMPUS/VA coverage @ interview	X ¹			X ¹		X ³¹				X ³¹		X ¹
CHAMPUS/VA coverage each month									X			
Medicaid coverage in ref. period	X ¹			X ¹								
Medicaid coverage each month									X			
Other public coverage in ref. period	X ¹			X ¹		X ³¹				X ³¹		X ¹
Other public coverage each month									X			
Private coverage in ref. period	X ¹			X ¹		X ³¹				X ³¹		X ¹
Private coverage each month									X			
Uninsured	X ¹			X ¹		X ³¹				X ³¹		X ¹
Insured/uninsured each month									X			

* Point-in-time data for the first part of 1997

^ Point-in-time data for the first part of 1998

Point-in-time data for the first part of 1999

Reference Period:

¹ = Round 1

² = Round 2

³ = Round 3

^e = End of year (12/31/1996)

^a = Annualized, for all of 1996

Data Item	001	002 (F1)	002 (F2)	003	004	005*	006	007	008	009 ^	011	013#
Sources of private insurance	x ¹			x ¹								
Sources of private insurance each month									x			
Policyholder of plan	x ¹			x ¹								
Policyholder of plan each month									x			
HMO/managed care enrollment		x ¹		x ¹								
PERSON-LEVEL HEALTH CARE UTILIZATION												
# office-based provider visits				x ^a			x ^a					x ^a
# office-based physician visits				x ^a								x ^a
# office-based nonphysician visits				x ^a								x ^a
# office-based visits to specific providers: chiropractors, nurse practitioners, optometrists, physician assistants, physical/occupational therapists				x ^a								x ^a
# office-based & outpatient visits to specific providers: chiropractors, nurse practitioners, optometrists, physician assistants, physical/occupational therapists				x ^a								x ^a
# telephone calls w/ office & outpatient departments				x ^a								x ^a
# telephone calls w/ a physician				x ^a								x ^a
# outpatient provider visits				x ^a			x ^a					x ^a
# outpatient physician visits				x ^a								x ^a
# outpatient nonphysician visits				x ^a								x ^a
# emergency room visits				x ^a			x ^a					x ^a
# hospital inpatient stays: zero-night, admissions, discharges				x ^a			x ^a					x ^a
# nights spent in hospital				x ^a								x ^a

* Point-in-time data for the first part of 1997

^ Point-in-time data for the first part of 1998

Point-in-time data for the first part of 1999

Reference Period:

¹ = Round 1

² = Round 2

³ = Round 3

^e = End of year (12/31/1996)

^a = Annualized, for all of 1996

Data Item	001	002 (F1)	002 (F2)	003	004	005*	006	007	008	009 ^	011	013#
# home health provider days				X ^a							X ^a	
# months with home health care				X ^a							X ^a	
# home health events							X ^a					
# prescribed medicine purchases				X ^a			X ^a				X ^a	
# dental visits				X ^a			X ^a				X ^a	
# orthodontist visits				X ^a							X ^a	
# alternative care visits									X ^a			
Any alternative care							X					
INCOME												
Poverty status									X ^a			
Total person income											X ^a	
Sources of income											X ^a	
Income tax filing status											X ^a	
Income tax data											X ^a	
Purchase of food stamps											X ^a	
PERSON-LEVEL EXPENDITURES - TOTAL and by SOURCE OF PAYMENT												
All											X ^a	
Office-based											X ^a	
Office-based physician											X ^a	
Office-based nonphysician											X ^a	
Office-based chiropractor											X ^a	
Office-based nurse practitioner											X ^a	
Office-based optometrist											X ^a	

* Point-in-time data for the first part of 1997

^ Point-in-time data for the first part of 1998

Point-in-time data for the first part of 1999

Reference Period:

¹ = Round 1

² = Round 2

³ = Round 3

^e = End of year (12/31/1996)

^a = Annualized, for all of 1996

Data Item	001	002 (F1)	002 (F2)	003	004	005*	006	007	008	009 ^	011	013 [#]
Office-based physician assistant											X ^a	
Office-based physical/occupational therapist											X ^a	
Outpatient											X ^a	
Outpatient facility											X ^a	
Outpatient physician											X ^a	
Outpatient nonphysician											X ^a	
Ambulatory chiropractor											X ^a	
Ambulatory nurse practitioner											X ^a	
Ambulatory optometrist											X ^a	
Ambulatory physician assistant											X ^a	
Ambulatory physical/occupational therapist											X ^a	
Emergency room facility											X ^a	
Emergency room physician											X ^a	
Zero-night stay facility											X ^a	
Zero-night stay physician											X ^a	
Hospital discharge facility											X ^a	
Hospital discharge physician											X ^a	
Dental care visit											X ^a	
General dentist											X ^a	
Orthodontist											X ^a	
Home health agency											X ^a	
Home health nonagency											X ^a	
Glasses/contact lenses											X ^a	
Other equipment/supplies, excluding prescribed medicines & diabetes											X ^a	

* Point-in-time data for the first part of 1997

^ Point-in-time data for the first part of 1998

Point-in-time data for the first part of 1999

Reference Period:

¹ = Round 1

² = Round 2

³ = Round 3

^e = End of year (12/31/1996)

^a = Annualized, for all of 1996

Data Item	001	002 (F1)	002 (F2)	003	004	005*	006	007	008	009 ^	011	013 [#]
Alternative care									X ^a		X ^a	
Prescribed medicines											X ^a	
SAMPLING WEIGHTS AND VARIANCE ESTIMATION												
Panel 1, Round 1 person weight (WGTSPP1)	x	x										
Panel 1, Round 1 family weight (WGTRU1)	x	x										
Panel 1, Round 2 person weight (WGTSPP2T)			x									
Panel 1, Round 2 family weight (WGTSRU2T)			x									
Panel 1, Full year person weight (WGTSPP96)				x	x							
Panel 1, Full year family weight (WGTFAM96)					x							
Point-in-time person weight (WGTSPP13)						x				x		
Point-in-time family weight (WGTRU13)						x				x		
Panel 1, Poverty-adjusted person weight (WTPERF96)							x					
Panel 1, Poverty-adjusted family weight (WTFAMF96)									x			
Panel 1, Pov adj fam wgt - CPS fam on 12/31/96 (WTCFAM96)									x			
Panel 1, Poverty/mortality-adjusted person weight (WTDPER96)									x		x	
Panel 4, Round 1 person weight (WGTSPP1)												x
Panel 4, Round 1 family weight (WGTRU1)												x
Stratum	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x
PSU	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x

* Point-in-time data for the first part of 1997

^ Point-in-time data for the first part of 1998

Point-in-time data for the first part of 1999

Reference Period:

¹ = Round 1

² = Round 2

³ = Round 3

^e = End of year (12/31/1996)

^a = Annualized, for all of 1996

G. PANEL 1 (1996) MEPS HC DATA ITEMS ON EVENT FILES

Panel 1 (1996) MEPS HC Data Items on Event Files

Data Item	PUF # HC010_								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
RECORD IDENTIFIERS									
Dwelling unit	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Person	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Event	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Provider	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Link to SBD				x	x	x			
Flat fee group		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Round number	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Link to condition									x
Link to prescribed medicines									x
EVENT DESCRIPTION									
Date of event	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	
Repeat visit						x	x	x	
Frequency/length of visit				x				x	
Type of provider	x	x	x			x	x	x	
Procedures		x		x	x	x	x	x	

A = Prescribed Medications (RX)
 B = Dental Events (DN)
 C = Other Medical Expenses (OME)
 D = Hospital Stays (IP)
 E = Emergency Room (ER)
 F = Outpatient (OP)

G = Medical Provider Visit (OB)
 H = Home Health (HH)
 I = Appendices

^a Family, Medicare, Medicaid, Private Insurance, Veterans, Champus/Champva, Other Federal, State/Local Government, Workers Compensation, Other Private Insurance, Other Public Insurance, Other Insurance

Data Item	PUF # HC010_								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Due to accident or injury		X							
Medicine prescribed				X	X	X			
Medication name/code	X								
# SBD records linked to event				X	X	X			
# condition records linked to event	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	
ICD-9 diagnosis codes	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	
ICD-9 procedure codes		X		X	X	X	X	X	
Modified clinical classification codes	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	
In person or telephone						X			
VA facility				X	X	X	X		
FLAT FEES									
Stem or leaf		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Visits in flat fee (DV, ER, OP, IP, OB, OM, HH)		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
EXPENDITURES									
Source of Payment ^a	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Total Expenditures	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	

A = Prescribed Medications (RX)
 B = Dental Events (DN)
 C = Other Medical Expenses (OME)
 D = Hospital Stays (IP)
 E = Emergency Room (ER)
 F = Outpatient (OP)

G = Medical Provider Visit (OB)
 H = Home Health (HH)
 I = Appendices

^a Family, Medicare, Medicaid, Private Insurance, Veterans, Champus/Champva, Other Federal, State/Local Government, Workers Compensation, Other Private Insurance, Other Public Insurance, Other Insurance

Data Item	PUF # HC010_								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Expenditure Imp. Flags ^a		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Total charge		X	X	X	X	X	X		
HH preimputed/MPC unimputed expenditures		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
SAMPLING WEIGHTS AND VARIANCE ESTIMATION									
Poverty/Mortality-adjusted person weight (WTDPER96)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Stratum	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
PSU	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
APPENDICES									X

A = Prescribed Medications (RX)
 B = Dental Events (DN)
 C = Other Medical Expenses (OME)
 D = Hospital Stays (IP)
 E = Emergency Room (ER)
 F = Outpatient (OP)

G = Medical Provider Visit (OB)
 H = Home Health (HH)
 I = Appendices

^a Family, Medicare, Medicaid, Private Insurance, Veterans, Champus/Champva, Other Federal, State/Local Government, Workers Compensation, Other Private Insurance, Other Public Insurance, Other Insurance

H. CATALOG OF MEDICAL EXPENDITURE PANEL SURVEY PRODUCTS

Catalog of Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Products as of May 10, 2000

All of the products listed below (with the exception of HC-001 and HC-002) are available free of charge by calling the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Publications Clearinghouse at 1-800-358-9295. Note that HC refers to the Household Component of MEPS, and NHC refers to the Nursing Home Component; descriptions of the MEPS components can be found in all of the Methodology Reports and Research Findings listed below.

Data Products

1997 Employer-Sponsored Health Insurance Data, March 2000. Tables downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

1996 Employer-Sponsored Health Insurance Data, March 2000. Tables downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

1996 Preliminary Employer-Sponsored Health Insurance Data, November 1999. Tables downloadable on MEPS Web site only. *Note: Data on downloadable tables replaces data on previously released CD-ROM that is no longer available.* (MEPS IC-001: 1996 Employer-Sponsored Health Insurance Data, August 1999. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-DP07)

MEPS HC-010A: 1996 Prescribed Medicines File, April, 2000. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-010B: 1996 Dental Visits File, April 2000. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-010C: 1996 Other Medical Expenditures File, April 2000. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-010D: 1996 Hospital Inpatient Stays File, April 2000. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-010E: 1996 Emergency Room Visits File, April 2000. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-010F: 1996 Outpatient Visits File, April 2000. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-010G: 1996 Office-Based Provider Visits File, April 2000. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-010H: 1996 Home Health File, April 2000. Data downloadable (*coming soon*) on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-011: 1996 Preliminary Expenditure File, December 1999. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-009: 1998 P2R3/P3R1 Population Characteristics, December 1999. Data available on CD-ROM. AHRQ Pub. No. 00-DP01. Also downloadable.

MEPS HC-008: 1996 Full Year Population Characteristics, December 1999. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-007: 1996 Jobs File, November 1999. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-006: 1996 Medical Conditions, August 1999. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

MEPS HC-005: Combined Panel 1, Round 3/Panel 2, Round 1 1997 Population Characteristics, March 1999. Data available on CD-ROM. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-DP03. Also downloadable.

MEPS HC-004: 1996 Panel Employment Data and Family-Level Weight for 1996, January 1999. Data available on diskette. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-DP02. Also downloadable.

MEPS HC-003: 1996 Panel Population Characteristics and Utilization Data for 1996, September 1998. Data available on CD-ROM. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-DP12. Also downloadable.

MEPS HC-002: 1996 Panel Round 1 Parent Identifiers and HMO Data / Round 2 Health Status and Access to Care Data, October 1997. Data available on CD-ROM. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-DP01. Also downloadable.

MEPS NHC-001: Round 1 Sampled Facility and Person Characteristics, March 1997. Data available on CD-ROM. AHCPR Pub. No. 97-DP21. Also downloadable.

MEPS-NHC Round 1 Questionnaire. Diskette. AHCPR Pub. No. 97-DP03.

MEPS HC-001: 1996 Panel Round 1 Population Characteristics, March 1997. Data downloadable on MEPS Web site only.

Print Products

Methodology Reports

Sommers JP. Construction of Weights for the 1996 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Insurance Component list sample. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Methodology Report No. 8*. AHCPR Pub. No. 00-0005.

Sommers J, Bethel J, Broene P. Construction of Weights for the 1996 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Nursing Home Component. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Methodology Report No. 7*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0045.

Sommers JP. List Sample Design of the 1996 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Insurance Component. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Methodology Report No. 6*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0037.

Cohen SB, DiGaetano R, Goksel H. Estimation Procedures in the 1996 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Household Component. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Methodology Report No. 5*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0027.

Bethel J, Broene P, Sommers JP. Sample Design of the 1996 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Nursing Home Component. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1998. *MEPS Methodology Report No. 4*. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-0042.

Potter D. Design and Methods of the 1996 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Nursing Home Component. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1998. *MEPS Methodology Report No. 3*. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-0041.

Cohen S. Sample Design of the 1996 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Household Component. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1997. *MEPS Methodology Report No. 2*. AHCPR Pub. No. 97-0027.

Cohen J. Design and Methods of the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Household Component. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1997. *MEPS Methodology Report No. 1*. AHCPR Pub. No. 97-0026.

Research Findings

Rhoades J, Brown E, Vistnes J. Health Insurance Status of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population: 1998. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2000. *MEPS Research Findings No.11*. AHRQ Pub. No. 00-0023.

Weigers ME, Drilea SK. Health Status and Limitations: A Comparison of Hispanics, Blacks, and Whites, 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Research Findings No. 10*. AHCPR Pub. No. 00-0001.

Banthin, JS, Cohen JW. Changes in the Medicaid Community Population: 1987-96. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Research Findings No. 9*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0042.

Vistnes JP, Zuvekas SH. Health Insurance Status of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population: 1997. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Research Findings No. 8*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0030.

Krauss NA, Machlin S, Kass BL. Use of Health Care Services, 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Research Findings No. 7*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0018.

Freiman M, Brown E. Special Care Units in Nursing Homes - Selected Characteristics, 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Research Findings No. 6*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0017.

Krauss NA, Altman BM. Characteristics of Nursing Home Residents - 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1998. *MEPS Research Findings No. 5*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0006.

Rhoades J, Potter DEB, Krauss N. Nursing Homes--Structure and Selected Characteristics, 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1998. *MEPS Research Findings No. 4*. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-0006.

Weinick RM, Zuvekas SH, Drilea SK. Access to Health Care--Sources and Barriers, 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1997. *MEPS Research Findings No. 3*. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-0001.

Monheit AC, Vistnes JP. Health Insurance Status of Workers and Their Families: 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1997. *MEPS Research Findings No. 2*. AHCPR Pub. No. 97-0065.

Vistnes JP, Monheit AC. Health Insurance Status of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population: 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1997. *MEPS Research Findings No. 1*. AHCPR Pub. No. 97-0030.

Highlights

Agency for Health Care Policy and Research The Uninsured in America -- 1997. Rockville (MD); 1999. *MEPS Highlights No. 10*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0031.

Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. Health Care Use in America -- 1996. Rockville (MD); 1999. *MEPS Highlights No. 9*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0029.

Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. Uninsured Workers--Job Characteristics, 1996. Rockville (MD); 1998. *MEPS Highlights No. 8*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0008.

Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. Uninsured Workers--Demographic Characteristics, 1996. Rockville (MD); 1998. *MEPS Highlights No. 7*. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0007.

Vistnes JP, Monheit AC. Health Insurance Profile: Race/Ethnicity and Sex--1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1998. *MEPS Highlights No. 6*. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-0052.

Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. Job-Based Health Insurance 1987 and 1996. Rockville (MD); 1998. *MEPS Highlights No. 5*. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-0032.

Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. Health Insurance Coverage in America--1996. Rockville (MD); 1998. *MEPS Highlights No. 4*. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-0031.

Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. Access to Health Care in America--1996. Rockville (MD); 1997. *MEPS Highlights No. 3*. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-0002.

Krauss NA, Freiman MP, Rhoades JA, et al. Nursing Home Update--1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1997. *MEPS Highlights No. 2*. AHCPR Pub. No. 97-0036.

Beauregard KM, Drilea SK, Vistnes JP. The Uninsured in America--1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1997. *MEPS Highlights No. 1*. AHCPR Pub. No. 97-0025.

Chartbooks

Peterson CL, Vistnes JP. State Differences in Job-Related Health Insurance, 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2000. *MEPS Chartbook No.4* AHRQ Pub. No. 00-0017

Rhoades, J and Krauss, N. Nursing Home Trends, 1987 and 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Chartbook No. 3* AHCPR Pub. No. 99-0032.

Kass B, Weinick R, and Monheit A. Racial and Ethnic Differences in Health, 1996. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1999. *MEPS Chartbook No. 2*. AHCPR Pub No. 99-0001.

Weigers ME, Weinick RM, Cohen JW. Children's Health, 1996: Health Insurance, Access to Care, and Health Status. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Care Policy and Research; 1998. *MEPS Chartbook No. 1*. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-0008.

Journal Articles

(available only through the AHRQ Publications Clearinghouse)

Cohen JW, Monheit AC, Beauregard KM, et al. The Medical Expenditure Panel Survey: A National Health Information Resource *Inquiry* 1996;33:373-389. AHCPR Pub. No. 97-R043.

Cooper PF, Schone BS. More Offers, Fewer Takers for Employment-Based Health Insurance: 1987 and 1996. *Health Affairs* November/December 1997; 16(6):142-149. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-R008.

Weinick RM, Drilea SK. Usual Sources of Health Care and Barriers to Care, 1996. *Statistical Bulletin* Jan-Mar 1998; 79(1): 11-17. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-R024.

Weinick RM, Weigers ME, Cohen, JW. Children's Health Insurance, Access to Care, and Health Status: New Findings. *Health Affairs* March/April 1998; 17(2): 127-136. AHCPR Pub. No.98-R035.

Rhoades J. Nursing Homes-Structure and Selected Characteristics,1987 and 1996. *Statistical Bulletin* April-June 1998;79(2): 2-9. AHCPR Pub. No.98-R058.

Selden T, Banthin J, Cohen J. Medicaid's Problem Children: Eligible But Not Enrolled. *Health Affairs* May/June 1998;17(3): 192-200. AHCPR Pub. No.98-R067.

Cohen S. Sample Design of the 1996 Medical Expenditures Panel Survey Medical Provider Component. *Journal of Economic and Social Measurement* 1998; 24: 25-53. AHCPR Pub. No. 98-R082.

Weinick RM, Monheit AC. Children's Health Insurance Coverage and Family Structure, 1977-1996. *Medical Care Research and Review* March 1999; 56(1): 55-73. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-R051.

Zuvekas S, Weinick RM. Changes in Access to Care, 1977-1996: The Role of Health Insurance. *Health Services Research* April 1999, Part II;34(1): 271-279. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-R054.

Selden T, Banthin J, Cohen J. Waiting in the Wings: Eligibility and Enrollment in the State Children's Health Insurance Program. *Health Affairs* March/April 1999; 18(2): 126-133. AHCPR Pub. No. 99-R050.

Cohen S, Machlin S. Nonresponse Adjustment Strategy in the Household Component of the 1996 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey. *Journal of Economic and Social Measurement* 1998; 25: 15-33. AHCPR Pub. No. 00-R006.

If you have any questions or comments concerning the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, please contact us through E-mail at meps@ahrq.gov.